

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MALIBU MEDIA, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN DOE SUBSCRIBER ASSIGNED
IP ADDRESS 108.206.113.196, et al.

Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-2701-JAM-KJN, et al.

ORDER

On May 5, 2016, the court conducted a global hearing with respect to plaintiff Malibu Media, LLC’s *ex parte* motions to conduct expedited discovery that had been pending in several related actions filed by plaintiff in the Sacramento division of this district. In connection with those motions, plaintiff lodged with the court for initial *in camera* review a status report addressing various questions/issues outlined in the court’s April 8, 2016 order scheduling the May 5, 2016 hearing, as well as representative samples of plaintiff’s settlement correspondence and settlement agreements. The status report was subsequently filed on the court’s public docket, and the exhibits to the status report, which contain confidential settlement correspondence and

///
///

1 settlement agreements, were filed under seal.¹ At the May 5, 2016 hearing, plaintiff's sole owner,
2 Colette Pelisseir Field, appeared along with counsel and responded to further questioning by the
3 court. Following that hearing, on May 10, 2016, the court issued a comprehensive order granting
4 the motions to conduct expedited discovery in all of the related cases, subject to certain
5 restrictions identified in that order.

6 Subsequently, plaintiff commenced several new actions, which were also ultimately
7 related to this action on August 4, 2016. Thereafter, on September 28, 2016, plaintiff filed *ex*
8 *parte* motions to conduct expedited discovery in each of the newly-related actions, which were
9 then submitted for decision on the record and written briefing without a hearing.

10 After carefully considering the court's record and the applicable law, IT IS HEREBY
11 ORDERED that:

- 12 1. Based on the showing made in plaintiff's motions, as well as plaintiff's representations
13 made in its status report filed in Malibu Media, LLC v. John Doe subscriber assigned
14 IP address 108.206.113.196, 2:15-cv-2701-JAM-KJN, ECF Nos. 11, 12, and verbally
15 at the May 5, 2016 hearing in that matter, the court finds that plaintiff has shown that
16 good cause exists to conduct the requested expedited discovery in these cases in an
17 attempt to identify the respective John Doe defendants. See Semitool, Inc. v. Tokyo
18 Electron Am, Inc., 208 F.R.D. 273, 276 (N.D. Cal. 2002). As such, plaintiff's motions
19 are GRANTED, subject to the restrictions outlined below.
- 20 2. Plaintiff may immediately serve a Rule 45 subpoena ("Subpoena") on the internet
21 service provider ("ISP") identified in Exhibit A to the operative complaint in each
22 case, or on any other entity identified as providing internet services to the John Doe
23 defendant at the particular IP address, to obtain information to identify the John Doe
24 defendant; more specifically, his or her *name and address*. The ISP shall NOT release
25 the subscriber's telephone number or e-mail address. A copy of this order shall be
26

27 ¹ Those documents were filed on the court's docket in the first-filed case, Malibu Media, LLC v.
28 John Doe subscriber assigned IP address 108.206.113.196, 2:15-cv-2701-JAM-KJN, ECF Nos.
11, 12.

1 attached to the Subpoena in each case.

- 2 3. The ISP shall have 30 days from the date of service of the Subpoena upon it to serve
3 the John Doe defendant with a copy of the Subpoena and a copy of this order. The
4 ISP may serve the John Doe defendant using any reasonable means, including written
5 notice sent to his or her last known address, transmitted either by first-class mail or via
6 overnight service.
- 7 4. The John Doe defendant shall have 60 days from the date of service of the Subpoena
8 and this order upon him or her to file any motions with this court contesting the
9 Subpoena (including a motion to quash or modify the Subpoena), as well as any
10 request to litigate the Subpoena anonymously. The ISP may NOT turn over the John
11 Doe defendant's identifying information to plaintiff before the expiration of this 60-
12 day period. Additionally, if the John Doe defendant or ISP files a motion to quash the
13 Subpoena, the ISP may not turn over any information to the plaintiff until the motion
14 has been resolved by the court. A John Doe defendant who moves to quash or modify
15 the subpoena, or to proceed anonymously, shall at the same time as his or her filing
16 notify the ISP so that the ISP is on notice not to release any of the John Doe
17 defendant's information to plaintiff until the court rules on any such motions.
- 18 5. If the above-mentioned time periods elapse without the John Doe defendant or ISP
19 contesting the Subpoena, the ISP shall have 10 days to produce the information
20 responsive to the Subpoena to plaintiff.
- 21 6. Upon service with the Subpoena, the ISP shall preserve any subpoenaed information
22 until either the information is produced to plaintiff or a timely-filed motion to quash
23 the subpoena is resolved by the court.
- 24 7. Any information ultimately disclosed to plaintiff in response to a Subpoena may be
25 used by plaintiff for the sole purpose of protecting plaintiff's rights as set forth in the
26 operative complaint.

27 This order resolves all pending *ex parte* motions for expedited discovery in the following
28 cases, and shall be filed by the Clerk of Court on the dockets of all the following cases:

1 2:16-cv-01708-JAM-KJN ECF No. 15
2 2:16-cv-01709- JAM-KJN ECF No. 16
3 2:16-cv-01710- JAM-KJN ECF No. 9
4 2:16-cv-01711- JAM-KJN ECF No. 8
5 2:16-cv-01712- JAM-KJN ECF No. 9
6 2:16-cv-01713-JAM-KJN ECF No. 6
7 2:16-cv-01714- JAM-KJN ECF No. 7
8 2:16-cv-01715- JAM-KJN ECF No. 8
9 2:16-cv-01716- JAM-KJN ECF No. 8
10 2:16-cv-01717- JAM-KJN ECF No. 7
11 2:16-cv-01718- JAM-KJN ECF No. 7
12 2:16-cv-01719- JAM-KJN ECF No. 7
13 2:16-cv-01720- JAM-KJN ECF No. 7
14 2:16-cv-01721- JAM-KJN ECF No. 8
15 2:16-cv-01722-JAM-KJN ECF No. 6
16 2:16-cv-01723- JAM-KJN ECF No. 7
17 2:16-cv-01724- JAM-KJN ECF No. 8
18 2:16-cv-01725- JAM-KJN ECF No. 6
19 2:16-cv-01726- JAM-KJN ECF No. 6
20 2:16-cv-01727- JAM-KJN ECF No. 7
21 2:16-cv-01728- JAM-KJN ECF No. 8
22 2:16-cv-01729- JAM-KJN ECF No. 8
23 2:16-cv-01733- JAM-KJN ECF No. 7

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: October 11, 2016

26

27

28


KENDALL J. NEWMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE