1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	TREMAYNE DEON CARROLL,	No. 2:16-cv-1759 TLN KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On December 19, 2016, Plaintiff filed a request for reconsideration (ECF No. 25) of the	
18	Magistrate Judge's order filed December 9, 2016, denying Plaintiff's motion for counsel (ECF	
19	No. 21). Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless	
20	"clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Id. Upon review of the entire file, the Court finds that it	
21	does not appear that the Magistrate Judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.	
22	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the	
23	Magistrate Judge filed December 9, 2016, (ECF No. 21), is affirmed.	
24		
25	Dated: January 10, 2017	7 Juniur
26		- My - Count of
27		Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge
28		
		1