

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBIN GILLEN STARR,
Plaintiff,
v.
UNITED STATES, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:16-cv-1829 CKD P
ORDER and
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed January 4, 2017, plaintiff’s amended complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file a second amended complaint was granted. Plaintiff was warned that failure to file a second amended complaint would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint.¹

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall assign a district judge to this action; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days

¹ On January 30, 2017, plaintiff filed a document titled “Notice of Objections to Magistrates, Clerks Orders, On I.F.P . . .” The contents of the document are unintelligible.

1 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
2 with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings and
3 Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time
4 may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th
5 Cir. 1991).

6 Dated: February 13, 2017



CAROLYN K. DELANEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11 1
12 star1829.fta

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28