

1 BENBROOK LAW GROUP, PC
 2 BRADLEY A. BENBROOK (SBN 177786)
 3 STEPHEN M. DUVERNAY (SBN 250957)
 4 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2530
 5 Sacramento, CA 95814
 Telephone: (916) 447-4900
 Facsimile: (916) 447-4904
 brad@benbrooklawgroup.com
 steve@benbrooklawgroup.com

6 HOLTZMAN VOGEL
 7 JOSEFIAK TORCHINSKY PLLC
 8 JASON TORCHINSKY*
 9 45 North Hill Drive, Suite 100
 Warrenton, VA 20186
 Phone: (540) 341-8808
 Facsimile: (540) 341-8809
 10 jtorchinsky@hvjt.law
 *Pro hac vice

12 AROCLES AGUILAR (SBN 94753)
 13 CHRISTINE JUN HAMMOND (SBN 206768)
 CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
 14 505 Van Ness Avenue
 San Francisco, CA 94102
 Phone: (415) 703-2682
 15 Facsimile: (415) 703-4592
 arocles.aguilar@cpuc.ca.gov
 16 cjh@cpuc.ca.gov

17
 18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 19 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 20

21 VICTOR GRESHAM and CONQUEST
 22 COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, LLC,

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.

25 MICHAEL PICKER, et al.

26 Defendants.
 27
 28

Case No.: 2:16-CV-01848-JAM-CKD

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
 REGARDING NOVEMBER 1, 2017
 ORDER REQUIRING JOINT STATUS
 REPORT**

Action Filed: Aug. 4, 2016

1 At the request of parties, the Court on October 31, 2017 stayed this matter pending
2 resolution of an appeal the plaintiffs took to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals from this Court's
3 order denying their motion for a preliminary injunction. On November 2, 2017, after receipt of the
4 Ninth Circuit's Memorandum Affirming the District Court Order, this Court ordered parties to file
5 on or before December 8, 2017 a Joint Status Report as previously ordered on August 5, 2016.

6 On November 8, 2017, the United States Supreme Court docketed the plaintiffs' petition
7 for writ of certiorari from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, at U.S. Supreme Court Case No. 17-
8 677. On December 5, defendants/respondents filed a notice with the U.S. Supreme Court that they
9 do not intend to file a response to the certiorari petition unless required to do so.

10 Counsel for both the plaintiffs and defendants have conferred and stipulate that it would be
11 appropriate for this Court to continue the present stay of proceedings while the certiorari petition is
12 under consideration, as any decision from the U.S. Supreme Court would likely have a material
13 impact on the litigation.

14 **Accordingly, the parties, by and through their respective undersigned counsel,**
15 **respectfully request that the Court maintain the stay of all District Court proceedings in this**
16 **matter pending the U.S. Supreme Court's determination on the petition for writ of**
17 **certiorari, whether by denial of the petition or, if the petition is granted, by review of the**
18 **Ninth Circuit's decision.**

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: December 8, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

BRADLEY A. BENBROOK

By: /s Bradley A. Benbrook
Bradley A. Benbrook
Attorney for Plaintiffs

BENBROOK LAW GROUP, PC
400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2530
Sacramento, CA 95814
Telephone: (916) 447-4900
Facsimile: (916) 447-4904
brad@benbrooklawgroup.com

Dated: December 8, 2017

AROCLES AGUILAR
CHRISTINE JUN HAMMOND

By: /s Christine J. Hammond
Christine Jun Hammond
Attorneys for Defendants

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 703-2682
Facsimile: (415) 703-4592
cjh@cpuc.ca.gov

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 12/11/2017

/s/ John A. Mendez
United States District Court Judge