

1 As the Court is aware, Counsel suffered the loss of his spouse. Counsel
2 simply underestimated how profound his wife's long illness and unfortunate death
3 at the young age of 41 would, for lack of better description, break his stride both at
4 home and professionally. Counsel has recognized that the continued routine
5 request for extension is not tenable moving forward and has crafted a plan to
6 alleviate his case load with the assistance of other Attorney's from his firm. The
7 failure to more timely notify the Court of the need for more time was a result of a
8 miscommunication within Plaintiff's Counsel's firm as to the status of the due
9 date.

10 Counsel for plaintiff does not anticipate this extraordinary request for more
11 time to become the rule and recognizes it is the extraordinary exception and
12 sincerely apologizes to the court for any inconvenience this may have had upon it
13 or its staff.

14 DATE: May 1, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF LAWRENCE D. ROHLFING

/s/ Steven G. Rosales

BY: _____
Steven G. Rosales
Attorney for plaintiff

19 DATED: May 1, 2017

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney

21
22 */S/- Daniel P. Talbert

23 _____
24 Daniel P. Talbert
25 Special Assistant United States Attorney
26 Attorney for Defendant
[*Via email authorization]

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff may have an extension of time, to
2 and including May 1, 2017, in which to file Plaintiff's Motion for Summary
3 Judgment or Remand; Defendant may have an extension of time to May 31, 2017
4 to file her opposition, if any is forthcoming. Any reply by plaintiff will be due
5 June 14, 2017.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 DATE: May 2, 2017



8
9 THE HONORABLE EDMUND F. BRENNAN
 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26