1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 WESLEY WILLIAM KESSLER, No. 2:16-cv-01930-GEB-AC 11 Plaintiffs, 12 **ORDER** v. 13 SACRAMENTO CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al, 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 The court is in receipt of plaintiff's motion for an extension of time. ECF No. 19. 18 Plaintiff is incarcerated. Id. at 1. Plaintiff's motion asks for a general extension of "all deadlines 19 or scheduled dates" due to plaintiff's alleged difficulty accessing the law library. Id. at 2. While 20 the court does not give general extensions, the court construes plaintiff's motion as a request for 21 an extension of the only pending deadline in this case, which is the deadline to respond to 22 defendant's motion for terminating sanctions. ECF No. 17. Plaintiff's deadline to respond, 23 which is currently set for November 1, 2017, will be extended to December 6, 2017. 24 The court hereby ORDERS: 25 1. The November 1, 2017 deadline for plaintiff to respond to defendant's motion for 26 terminating sanctions (ECF No. 17) is reset to December 6, 2017; 27 2. Defendant's reply brief is due December 13, 2017; and //// 28 1

3. If plaintiff does not file any statement in response to defendant's motion, the court will construe plaintiff's lack of response as non-opposition to defendant's motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 17, 2017

allen Clane

ALLISON CLAIRE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE