1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 RICKY RAY KEEL, 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER	
345678FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT COURT9FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA1011RICKY RAY KEEL,No. 2:16-cv-01946-TLN-JDP12Plaintiff,	
45678FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT COURT9FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA1011RICKY RAY KEEL,No. 2:16-cv-01946-TLN-JDP12Plaintiff,	
5678CUNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT9FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA1011RICKY RAY KEEL,No. 2:16-cv-01946-TLN-JDP12Plaintiff,	
678VINITED STATES DISTRICT COURT9FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA1011RICKY RAY KEEL,No. 2:16-cv-01946-TLN-JDP12Plaintiff,	
78UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT9FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA10Intersection of the expected of the	
8UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT9FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA10Interstation of the eastern of	
9FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA101111RICKY RAY KEEL,12Plaintiff,	
10No. 2:16-cv-01946-TLN-JDP11RICKY RAY KEEL,12Plaintiff,	
11RICKY RAY KEEL,No. 2:16-cv-01946-TLN-JDP12Plaintiff,	
12 Plaintiff,	
13 v. ORDER	
14 PINE, et al.,	
15 Defendants.	
16	
17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> , has filed this civil rights action seeking rel	ef
18 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuan	to
19 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20 On December 3, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
21 which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to)
22 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 64.) No	
23 objections were filed.	
24 The Court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
25 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
26 ORDERED that:	
1. The findings and recommendations filed December 3, 2021, (ECF No. 64), are adopted by the second	
28 in full;	ted
1	ted

1	2. Defendants' motion to dismiss, (ECF No. 51), is GRANTED;
2	3. Plaintiff's First Amendment retaliation claim is dismissed without leave to amend; and
3	4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case.
4	DATED: January 24, 2022
5	
6 7	- My - Munday
8	Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2