I

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
FRANCISCO BARAJAS,	No. 2:16-cv-2000 JAM AC P
Petitioner,	
v.	ORDER
S. FRAUENHIEM, Warden,	
Respondent.	
15	
16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas	
17 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.	
18The application attacks a conviction issued by the Superior Court of Ventura County. See	
19 ECF No. 1 at 2-3; <u>People v. Barajas</u> , No. B227409, 2011 WL 4436454, *1 (Cal. Ct. App. Sept.	
20 26, 2011). While both this court and the United States District Court in the district where	
petitioner was convicted have jurisdiction, <u>see Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court</u> , 410 U.S.	
484 (1973), any and all witnesses and evidence necessary for the resolution of petitioner's	
application are more readily available in Ventura County. <u>Id.</u> at 499 n.15; 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).	
4 Accordingly, in the furtherance of justice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is	
25 transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California.	
DATED: October 6, 2016	
	alles Clane
	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
1	
	FOR THE EASTERN E FRANCISCO BARAJAS, Petitioner, v. S. FRAUENHIEM, Warden, Respondent. Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding p corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The application attacks a conviction iss ECF No. 1 at 2-3; <u>People v. Barajas</u> , No. B227 26, 2011). While both this court and the United petitioner was convicted have jurisdiction, <u>see</u> 484 (1973), any and all witnesses and evidence application are more readily available in Ventor Accordingly, in the furtherance of justic transferred to the United States District Court