1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 JABARR ABRAM, No. 2:16-cv-2004 MCE KJN P 12 Plaintiff. 13 FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 R.J. RACKLEY, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 By order filed October 14, 2016, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave 18 to file an amended complaint was granted. Thirty days from that date have now passed, and 19 plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's order. 20 Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff 21 was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current 22 address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective. 23 24 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without 25 prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 26 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 27

after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27

28

objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: December 5, 2016

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

/abra2004.fta