

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSEPH DAVID CHAPA,
Petitioner,
v.
JOE A. LIZARRAGA,
Respondent.

No. 2:16-cv-2019 JAM AC P

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On September 22, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. ECF No. 17.

Neither party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

Although it appears from the file that petitioner’s copy of the findings and recommendations was returned, petitioner was properly served. It is the petitioner’s responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be

1 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge’s analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
2 ORDERED that:

3 1. The findings and recommendations filed September 22, 2020 (ECF No. 17), are
4 adopted in full;

5 2. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

6 3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C.
7 § 2253.

8

9

10 DATED: December 8, 2020

/s/ John A. Mendez

11

THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28