Plaintiff shall be given an additional thirty days to file an amended complaint and is warned that failure to file an amended complaint will result in dismissal of this action without further warning. Additionally, as explained in the court's March 31, 2017 order, plaintiff is a three-strikes litigant and his motion to proceed in forma pauperis will not be granted unless he shows that he meets the imminent danger exception. ECF No. 31. Finally, the motion for

26

27

28

appointment of counsel will be denied because the court is unable to determine plaintiff's likelihood of success on the merits without a complaint. Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991) (district court can request voluntary assistance of counsel when there are exceptional circumstances); Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009) ("When determining whether 'exceptional circumstances' exist, a court must consider 'the likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." (quoting Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983))). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Plaintiff has thirty days from the service of this order to file an amended complaint. Failure to file an amended complaint will result in dismissal of this action without further warning. 2. Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 38) is denied. DATED: May 23, 2017 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE