1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 CLARENCE A. GIPBSIN, No. 2:16-cy-2053 MCE CKD P 10 Plaintiff, 11 **ORDER** v. 12 J. MCCUMBER, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has requested 16 appointment of counsel. The court cannot require an attorney to represent a plaintiff who cannot 17 pay for the attorney's services. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). However, under the federal in forma pauperis statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1915, the court may request 18 19 that an attorney represent a person unable to afford counsel. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). The court 20 will make that request only when there are exceptional circumstances. When determining 21 whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court considers, among other things, plaintiff's 22 likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 965, 970 23

In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances at this stage of these proceedings.

(9th Cir. 2009). While the court is aware of the difficulties attendant to litigating an action while

incarcerated, circumstances common to most prisoners do not establish "exceptional

24

25

26

27

28

circumstances."

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for the appointment of	
2	counsel (ECF No. 15) is denied.	
3	3 Dated: April 27, 2017 Caroh 11. I	Delany
4	CAROLYN K. DELAN	
5	AD AMERICAN AND A STATE OF A STAT	
6	6	
7	7	
8	1/KIY	
9	9 gipb2053.31	
10		
11	11	
12	12	
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18	18	
19	19	
20	20	
21	21	
22	22	
23	23	
24	24	
25	25	
26	26	
27	27	