Brown v. Na	onal Railroad Passenger Corporation, et al.		Doc. 11	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	IN AND FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10		* * *		
11	IRMA JEAN BROWN,	CASE NO. 2:16-CV-02105-LEK San Joaquin Co. Sup. Court Case No: STK-CV-LPI-2016-7030		
12	Plaintiff,	Complaint filed: 7/18/16 Trial Date: n/a		
13	VS.	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE		
14	NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION dba			
15	AMTRAK; BNSF RAILWAY; and, DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,			
16	Defendants.			
17				
18	IT IS STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff, IRMA JEAN BROWN, on one hand, and			
19	Defendants, NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION dba AMTRAK, and BNSF			
20	RAILWAY COMPANY, on the other, through their designated counsel, that the Court dismiss the			
21	above-entitled matter, with prejudice, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure rule 41(a)(1). Each			
22	party agrees to bear their own fees and costs.			
23	DATED: January 5, 2017	CARRILLO DAVALOS, PLC		
24	Ву	/s/ Rafael Carrillo		
25	RAFAEL CARRILLO, ESQ. Attorneys for plaintiff, IRMA JEAN BROWN			
26		IRMA JEAN BROWN		
27				
28				
	STIPULATION AND ORDER	FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE		
		Dockets.Ju	stia.com	

1	DATED: January 5. 2017	FLESHER SCHAFF & SCHROEDER. INC		
2		/s/ Jerem	v J. Schroeder	
3		By JEREMY	J. SCHROEDER, ESQ.	
4		Attorney NATION	s for defendant, NAL RAILROAD PASSENGER	
5		RAILW <i>E</i>	Y J. SCHROEDER, ESQ. s for defendant, NAL RAILROAD PASSENGER RATION dba AMTRAK and BNSF AY COMPANY	
6				
7	ALI IC CO ODDEDED	TES DIST		
8	IT IS SO ORDERED:	Pict Co State Con Co		
9	DATED: January 5, 2017		/s/ Leslie E. Kobayashi	
10	,		Leslie E. Kobayashi United States District Judge	
11		STRICT OF HAMP		
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				