1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MILORAD OLIC,	No. 2:16-cv-2128-KJM-EFB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	R. CHACON, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. By order filed January 30, 2018, the court found that plaintiff had stated a	
19	potentially cognizable Eighth Amendment excessive force claim against defendants Chacon and	
20	Rainey and informed plaintiff he could proceed against those defendants only or file an amended	
21	complaint within 30 days that also states a claim against defendant Church. The time for	
22	amending has passed and plaintiff has elected to proceed only with the claim against defendants	
23	Chacon and Rainey. See ECF No. 12.	
24	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that plaintiff's claims against defendant	
25	Church be dismissed without prejudice.	
26	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
27	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
28	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	

objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections	
within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v.	
Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
Dated: May 1, 2018.	
Aming F. Bieman	
EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
2	