

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
8 AT SACRAMENTO  
9

10 WATER CONSERVATION  
11 TECHNOLOGY INTERNATIONAL,  
12 INC., a Nevada corporation,  
13 Plaintiff,  
14 v.  
15 ROSEBURG FOREST PRODUCTS CO.,  
16 an Oregon corporation; and DOES 1-10,  
17 Defendant.  
18

No. 2:16-cv-02151-SB

**ORDER GRANTING  
STIPULATION TO EXTEND  
TIME TO RESPOND TO  
COMPLAINT**

19 Before the Court is the Parties' Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to  
20 Complaint, ECF No. 13. The parties state that they are negotiating a potential early  
21 resolution and are in the process of meeting and conferring. Thus, the parties have  
22 agreed to an additional 30-day extension of time for Defendant to respond to  
23 Plaintiff's Complaint. The Court finds good cause to grant the motion and extend  
24 the deadline to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint.

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

**ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND  
TO COMPLAINT + 1**

1 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

2 1. The Parties' Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Complaint, ECF  
3 No. 13, is **GRANTED.**

4 2. Defendant shall file a response to Plaintiff's Complaint by **February 24,**  
5 **2017.**

6 3. All other deadlines shall remain in place.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The Clerk of Court is directed to enter this Order  
8 and forward copies to counsel.

9 **DATED** this 20th day of January, 2017.

10  
11 

12  
13 Stanley A. Bastian  
14 United States District Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28