STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: JOINT STATUS REPORT

Doc. 38

Dockets.Justia.com

Ramos v. PVH Corporation

Plaintiff Maria Ramos ("Ramos") and defendant PVH Corp. ("PVH"), by and through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. On June 1, 2016, Ramos commenced this action by filing a class action complaint in San Francisco County Superior Court. On July 22, 2016, PVH removed this action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 3:16-cv-4131-DMR. The action was reassigned to the Hon. Thelton E. Henderson on August 4, 2016. Ramos filed a First Amended Class Action Complaint on September 6, 2016.
- 2. Pursuant to stipulation and order, on September 20, 2016, this action was transferred to the Eastern District of California where it was docketed as Case No. 2:16-CV-02258-JAM-KJN and assigned to the Hon. John A. Mendez.
- 3. On October 7, 2016, PVH filed its motion to dismiss Ramos's First Amended Class Action Complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). By Minute Order dated November 9, 2016, the motion to dismiss was taken under submission without oral argument pursuant to Local Rule 230(g).
- 4. By Order dated November 17, 2016, this action was deemed related to *Maria Ramos v. BCBG Max Azria Group, LLC*, Case No. 2:16-cv-01809-TLN-AC, and *Maria Ramos v. AM Retail Group, Inc.*, Case No. 2:16-cv-01842-MCE-KJN (redesignated Case No. 2:16-cv-01842-TLN-AC) and reassigned to the Hon. Troy L. Nunley. (Docket No. 34.) Also on November 17, 2016, the Court issued an Order Requiring Joint Status Report requiring that the parties hold a Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) conference and file a Joint Status Report within 60 days. (Docket No. 35.)
- 5. Counsel for Ramos and PVH have met and conferred, and agree that good cause exists to postpone the preparation and filing of the Joint Status Report until the Court hears and resolves PVH's pending motion to dismiss.

| 1  | 6. THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE   |
|----|--|
| 2  | that the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) conference and Joint Status Report  |
| 3  | ordered by this Court on November 17, 2016 be postponed until no later than 14   |
| 4  | days after the pleadings are resolved.   |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  | Dated: January 12, 2017 CTONED ADCED I AW A  |
| 8  | Dated: January 12, 2017 STONEBARGER LAW, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  |
| 9  | KEARNEY LITTLEFIELD, LLP   |
| 10 | Ry: s/Prescott Littlefield   |
| 11 | By: <u>s/ Prescott Littlefield</u> Prescott Littlefield Attorneys for Plaintiff Maria Ramos  |
| 12 | Attorneys for Frankiri Waria Ramos   |
| 13 | Dated: January 12, 2017 PROSKAUER ROSE LLP   |
| 14 | By: s/ Lary Alan Rappaport   |
| 15 | By: <u>s/ Lary Alan Rappaport</u> Lary Alan Rappaport Attorneys for Defendant PVH Corp.  |
| 16 | The state of the s |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | Pursuant to Local Rule 131(e), I hereby attest that I have obtained the  |
| 19 | concurrence of Prescott Littlefield, Esq., on whose behalf this filing is submitted, in  |
| 20 | the filing of this document and, on January 12, 2017, Mr. Littlefield authorized me  |
| 21 | to file this document with his electronic signature.   |
| 22 | Dated: January 12, 2017 PROSKAUER ROSE LLP   |
| 23 | By: s/ Lary Alan Rappaport   |
| 24 | Lary Alan Rappaport Attorneys for Defendant PVH Corp.  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |

## 

## **ORDER**

Upon consideration of the forgoing Stipulation re: Joint Status Report by and between plaintiff Maria Ramos and Defendant PVH Corp., and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) conference and Joint Status Report ordered by this Court on November 17, 2016 be postponed until a date no later than 14 days after the pleadings are resolved.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 17, 2017

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge