

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 KEVIN MOORE,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 G. TESLUK, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

No. 2: 16-cv-2268 GEB KJN P

ORDER

17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a civil rights action pursuant
18 to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On January 18, 2018, plaintiff filed a motion to compel addressed to all
19 defendants. (ECF No. 68.) Defendants Tesluk and Nguyen filed oppositions. (ECF Nos. 69, 71.)
20 Defendants Fox and Win did not respond to the motion to compel. Accordingly, on February 22,
21 2018, the undersigned ordered defendants Fox and Win to show cause why sanctions should not
22 be imposed for their failure to respond to the motion to compel. (ECF No. 76.)

23 On March 5, 2018, defendants Fox and Win filed a response to the order to show cause
24 and an opposition to the motion to compel. (ECF Nos. 78, 80.)


25 In the opposition, defendants Fox and Win address plaintiff's request for production of
26 documents and interrogatories. In the motion to compel, plaintiff alleges that he also served
27 defendants Fox and Win with requests for admissions.

28 ///

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within fourteen days of the date of this
2 order, defendants Fox and Win shall file further briefing addressing whether they received a set of
3 requests for admissions from plaintiff.

4 Dated: March 8, 2018

5
6 Mo2268.fb


KENDALL J. NEWMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE