UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL BRANDON MAYBERRY,

Plaintiff,

v.

SUISUN CITY POLICE DEPT.,

Defendant.

No. 2:16-cv-2371-JAM-KJN (PS)

ORDER

On December 15, 2016, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.

Accordingly, the court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing, concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the Proposed Findings and Recommendations in full.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The Proposed Findings and Recommendations filed December 15, 2016, are ADOPTED;
2. Defendant Suisun City Police Department is dismissed from this action with prejudice.

DATED: January 18, 2017

/s/John A. Mendez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE