

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LEONICIO DEJESUS,
Plaintiff,
v.
MICHAEL MARTEL, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:16-cv-02375 KJM CKD P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed June 8, 2017, plaintiff's first amended complaint was dismissed with leave to file a second amended complaint within thirty days. The court subsequently granted plaintiff two extensions of time to file his second amended complaint. ECF Nos. 17, 20. The time period permitted has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections

1 with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings
2 and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified
3 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
4 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 Dated: May 11, 2018



CAROLYN K. DELANEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13 12/deju2375.fta(2).docx

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28