

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIE D. RANDLE,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
M. GOODWIN, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:16-cv-2419 KJN P

ORDER

Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se. On April 6, 2017, plaintiff filed a notice of substitution of Doe parties and sought service of process on the newly-named defendants. On June 2, 2017, defendants Bobbola and Goodwin filed a motion to dismiss. However, on June 1, 2017, plaintiff presented an amended complaint to prison authorities for mailing, which was filed with this court on June 5, 2017. On June 7, 2017, defendants Bobbola and Goodwin requested the court screen the amended pleading, and be relieved of their obligation to file a responsive pleading until further order of court.

Under the mailbox rule,<sup>1</sup> plaintiff’s amended complaint was filed as of right prior to the filing of the motion to dismiss. Because the motion to dismiss is directed to the original complaint, which is now superseded by the amended complaint, defendants’ motion to dismiss is

---


<sup>1</sup> See Campbell v. Henry, 614 F.3d 1056, 1059 (9th Cir. 2010) (under the mailbox rule, the petition is deemed filed when handed to prison authorities for mailing).

1 moot and is denied. Defendants' request to screen the amended complaint is granted; the  
2 pleading will be screened by separate order. In addition, plaintiff included his claims against  
3 defendants Tripoli and Yang in his amended complaint; thus, his notice of substitution of their  
4 names for those named as Doe defendants in the original complaint, is also moot and is denied.

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 6 1. Plaintiff's notice of substitution (ECF No. 9) is denied without prejudice;
- 7 2. Defendants' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 13) is denied without prejudice;
- 8 3. Defendants' request for screening (ECF No. 15) is granted; and
- 9 4. Defendants Bobbola and Goodwin are relieved of their obligation to file a responsive  
10 pleading until further order of court.

11 Dated: June 12, 2017

12   
13 \_\_\_\_\_  
14 KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

16 /rand2419.den  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28