| 1 | | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | WILLIE D. RANDLE, | No. 2:16-cv-2419 KJN P | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | | |
| 13 | v. | ORDER REFERRING CASE TO POST- | |
| 14 | M. GOODWIN, et al., | SCREENING ADR PROJECT AND STAYING CASE FOR 120 DAYS | |
| 15 | Defendants. | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel. The U.S. Marshal has | | |
| 18 | accomplished service of process on defendants Goodwin and Bobbala. On December 22, 2017, | | |
| 19 | such defendants requested that this case be referred to the ADR project and set for settlement | | |
| 20 | conference at the same time and date as plaintiff's other case, Randle v. Elizarraras, No. 2:17-cv- | | |
| 21 | 1700 KJN (E.D. Cal.), for purposes of judicial economy and to save the parties' time and | | |
| 22 | resources. Defense counsel notes that plaintiff previously indicated that a settlement conference | | |
| 23 | would be worthwhile. (ECF No. 22 at 2.) | | |
| 24 | //// | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | ¹ Plaintiff has a third case pending, <u>Randle v. Porter</u> , No. 2:17-cv-1583 AC P (E.D. Cal.), which is not assigned to the undersigned magistrate judge. Defendants state that Porter could also be discussed at the settlement conference because such case is also venued in the Sacramento | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | Division and the defendants named therein are also represented by the office of the Attorney General. (ECF No. 22 at 2 n.2.) | | |

In any event, the instant case would be referred to the ADR Project whether or not defendants made their request, but good cause also exists to grant defendants' request. Thus, defendants' request is granted. In order to expedite the scheduling of the settlement conference, this case will be set for settlement conference on the same day as Elizarraras.

The undersigned is referring all post-screening civil rights cases filed by pro se inmates to the Post-Screening ADR (Alternative Dispute Resolution) Project in an effort to resolve such cases more expeditiously and less expensively. Defense counsel from the Office of the California Attorney General has agreed to participate in this pilot project. No defenses or objections shall be waived by their participation.

As set forth in the screening order, plaintiff has stated a potentially cognizable civil rights claim. Thus, the court stays this action for a period of 120 days to allow the parties to investigate plaintiff's claims, meet and confer, and then participate in a settlement conference.

There is a presumption that all post-screening civil rights cases assigned to the undersigned will proceed to settlement conference.² However, if after investigating plaintiff's claims and speaking with plaintiff, and after conferring with defense counsel's supervisor, defense counsel in good faith finds that a settlement conference would be a waste of resources, defense counsel may move to opt out of this pilot project.

By filing the attached notice within thirty days, the parties shall notify the court whether they waive disqualification for the undersigned to hold the settlement conference or whether they request a different judge. Plaintiff shall also indicate his preference to appear in person or by videoconference, if available. Failure to timely file such notice will result in the case being set for settlement conference before a different judge.

Within thirty days, the assigned Deputy Attorney General shall contact the Courtroom Deputy, Alexandra Waldrop, at (916) 930-4187, to schedule the settlement conference. If difficulties arise in scheduling the settlement conference due to the court's calendar, the parties may seek an extension of the initial 120 day stay.

² If the case does not settle, the court will set a date for the filing of a responsive pleading at the conference.

Once the settlement conference is scheduled, at least seven days prior to conference, the parties shall submit to the assigned settlement judge a confidential settlement conference statement. The parties' confidential settlement conference statement shall include the following:

(a) names and locations of the parties; (b) a short statement of the facts and alleged damages; (c) a short procedural history; (d) an analysis of the risk of liability, including a discussion of the efforts made to investigate the allegations; and (e) a discussion of the efforts that have been made to settle the case.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The request to refer this case to the ADR project (ECF No. 22) is granted.
- 2. This action is stayed for 120 days to allow the parties an opportunity to settle their dispute before a responsive pleading is filed, or the discovery process begins. Except as provided herein or by subsequent court order, no other pleadings or other documents may be filed in this case during the stay of this action. The parties shall not engage in formal discovery, but the parties may elect to engage in informal discovery.
- 3. Within thirty days from the date of this order, the parties shall file the attached notice, informing the court whether they waive disqualification for the undersigned to hold the settlement conference, or whether they choose to have the settlement conference held by a different judge.
- 4. Within thirty days from the date of this order, the assigned Deputy Attorney General shall contact this court's Courtroom Deputy, Alexandra Waldrop, at (916) 930-4187, to schedule the settlement conference.
- 5. At least seven days prior to the settlement conference, each party shall submit a confidential settlement conference statement, as described above, to the judge assigned for settlement.
- 6. If a settlement is reached at any point during the stay of this action, the parties shall file a Notice of Settlement in accordance with Local Rule 160.
- 7. The Clerk of the Court shall serve copies of (a) plaintiff's amended complaint (ECF No. 14), (b) the screening order (ECF No. 21), and (c) the instant order, on Supervising Deputy Attorney General Christopher Becker.

8. The parties remain obligated to keep the court informed of their current address at all times during the stay and while the action is pending. Any change of address must be reported promptly to the court in a separate document captioned for this case and entitled "Notice of Change of Address." See L.R. 182(f).

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: January 3, 2018

/rand2419.adr.post.usm2

| 1 | | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 4 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | WILLIE D. RANDLE, | No. 2:16-cv-2419 KJN P | |
| 7 | Plaintiff, | | |
| 8 | v. | NOTICE RE: JUDGE ELECTION FOR SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE (POST- | |
| 9 | M. GOODWIN, et al., | SCREENING ADR PROJECT) | |
| 10 | Defendants. | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | 1. As required by court order, the parties notify the court of the following election: | | |
| 13 | Pursuant to Local Rule 270(b) of the Eastern District of California, the party signing | | |
| 14 | below affirmatively requests that the assigned Magistrate Judge participate in the settlement | | |
| 15 | conference and, further, waives any claim of disqualification of the assigned Magistrate Judge on | | |
| 16 | that basis thereafter. This waiver is not to be construed as consent to the Magistrate Judge's | | |
| 17 | jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1). | | |
| 18 | OR The party signing below requests that a different judge hold the settlement | | |
| 19 | conference. | | |
| 20 | AND | | |
| 21 | 2. Plaintiff indicates his preference by checking one: | | |
| 22 | Plaintiff would like to participate in the settlement conference in person. | | |
| 23 | OR | | |
| 24 | Plaintiff would like to participate in the settlement conference by video conference. | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | DATED: | | |
| 27 | Plaintiff or Counsel for Defendants | | |
| 28 | | | |