1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. 2:16-cv-2446 DB P PAUL NIVARD BEATON, 12 Plaintiff, 13 ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS V. 14 CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY, 15 Defendant. 16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with an action filed 17 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the Americans with Disabilities Act, alleging claims arising 18 from his medical treatment at California Medical Facility. (ECF No. 1.) 19 On September 27, 2017 the court screened plaintiff's complaint, found he failed to state a 20 claim, and gave him leave to amend. (ECF No. 7.) Plaintiff was directed to file an amended 21 complaint within thirty days and was warned that failure to file an amended complaint would 22 result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. On February 9, 2018 the court ordered 23 plaintiff to dismiss this action or file an amended complaint within fourteen days. Those fourteen 24 days have passed and plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint or otherwise comply with 25 the court's orders. Accordingly, the court will direct the clerk of the court to assign a district 26 judge and recommend that this action be dismissed for failure to comply with a court order. 27 //// 28 1

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall randomly assign this action to a district judge. IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Ldcal Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: March 20, 2018 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DLB:12 DLB1/ORDERS/PRISONER.CIVIL.RIGHTS/beat.2446.rec.dism