1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 TROY WILLIAMS, No. 2:16-cv-2447 JAM CKD P 12 Plaintiff. 13 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 ROBERT BOCKUS, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 By order filed March 23, 2017, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave 18 to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff 19 has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order. 20 Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff 21 was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current 22 address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective. 23 24 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 25 26 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 27 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen after

28

1	the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and	
2	Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time	
3	waives the right to appeal the District Court'	s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir.
4	1991).	
5	Dated: May 3, 2017	Carop U. Delany
6		CAROLYN K. DELANEY
7		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8		
9		
10	1	
11	will2447.fta	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
-		