- 2. The Decedent owned the Annuity and designated his wife Terry Katherine Hill as primary beneficiary of the Annuity.
  - 3. The Annuity contract contained the following applicable provisions:

## **BENEFICIARY**

If any Beneficiary dies prior to the Annuitant, the Beneficiary's interest will pass to any other Beneficiary according to the surviving Beneficiary's respective interest. If no Beneficiary survives the Annuitant death benefits will paid to the Annuitant's estate.

## **DESIGNATION OF BENEFICIARY**

During the annuitant's lifetime, the annuitant has the right to designate a Beneficiary and to change the designation. The change may be made by sending in a written request to Our Home Office. The change will take effect when We have recorded the change. However, after the change is recorded, the change will be deemed effective as of the date of the written request for change.

- 4. The Decedent remained the owner of the Annuity during his life and while the Annuity remained in force.
- 5. The initial primary beneficiary, and wife of the Decedent, Terry Katherine Hill, predeceased the Decedent. Answering defendants are unaware if the initial beneficiary designation included any alternate beneficiary designation.
  - 6. The Decedent died on April 26, 2016.
- 7. AGLIC received a Beneficiary Designation Form by facsimile on April 25, 2016, requesting the beneficiary be changed on the Annuity from Terry Katherine Hill to the Decedent's friend Joceline Stickney. The beneficiary change is allegedly signed by the Decedent on 4/18/16.
- 8. AGLIC did not record, and therefore rejected, the April 25, 2016, beneficiary change request because the Beneficiary Change Form submitted appeared to have been altered prior to its receipt.
- 9. AGLIC not knowing the Decedent had passed, wrote to the Decedent on May 5, 2016, advising that it was unable to complete his request to change the beneficiary because the Beneficiary Change Form he submitted appeared to have been altered prior to their receipt of

22.

the form. AGLIC provided a new Beneficiary Designation Form and instructed him to resubmit his request using this form.

- 10. AGLIC not knowing the Decedent had passed, wrote to the Decedent on June 23, 2016, after receiving a resubmission of the Beneficiary Designation Form, advising that a change was recently made to the Decedent's beneficiary information.
- 11. AGLIC first became aware the Decedent died when Joceline Stickney, called AGLIC on July 7, 2016, to report the Decedent's death of April 26, 2016.
- 12. AGLIC received correspondence from the Annuity Plan Administrator on July 15, 2016, enclosed with copies of a Death Claim Form and a certified copy of the Decedent's Death Certificate, advising the Plan Administrator had received and approved the Death Claim Form, received a copy of the Decedent's Death Certificate, and asked AGLIC to process the claim upon receipt.
- 13. On July 19, 2016, AGLIC received a letter from Jennifer Fransen, Gould, Esq., of Garvey Shubert Barer, attorneys for the defendants regarding the Decedent's estate, advising the beneficiary designation of the Decedent's Annuity may be have been changed shortly prior to his death on April 26, 2016, to Ms. Joceline A. Stickney and that any such change of beneficiary designation was invalid and will be contested.
- 14. On August 19, 2016, Calavaras County Superior Court, appointed the Bank of Stockton Trust & Investment Group, as the Administrator of the Decedent's Estate.
- 15. On August 24, 2016 AGLIC received a letter from Beverly H. Klunk, Vice President and Senior Trust Officer for the Bank of Stockton Trust & Investment Group, with enclosed copies of the Decedent's Death Certificate and the Letters of Administration appointing the Bank of Stockton Trust & Investment Group as Administrator of the Decedent's estate; inquiring on behalf of the Decedent's daughters about the status of the Decedent's Annuity and whether the Annuity had been transferred to a new beneficiary. The Bank of Stockton advised that it believed there had been an attempt to change the beneficiary designation on the Decedent's Annuity from his pre-deceased wife Terry Katherine Hill to

1692978v.1

4

	24.	AGLIC is a citizen of the State of Texas (See ¶8 of Doc 1); defendant Molly
HilL	Gray is a	a citizen and resident of the State of California (See ¶10 of Docs 1 & 10);
defe	ndant Kev	yn Morgareidge is a citizen and resident of the State of Wyoming (See ¶11 of
Docs 1 & 10); and Defendant Bank of Stockton is a financial institution with its principal place		
of		
husi	ness in St	ockton California (See ¶12 of Docs 1 & 10)

- 25. The amount in controversy in the Action exceeds \$75,000 because the Annuity death benefit held by AGLIC when the complaint was filed on October 14, 2016, equaled \$391,476.67.
- 26. This Court also has original jurisdiction over this Action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \$1335, as this suit is between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$500.00, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 27. This Court also has jurisdiction over this Action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332, and Federal Rule Civil Procedure Rule 22, as the amount in controversy exceeds the \$75,000 threshold required in Federal Court and there exists complete diversity between AGLIC and the defendants.
- 28. AGLIC properly filed the Complaint for Interpleader Relief in the Action and stated a proper cause for interpleader.
- 29. The only remaining parties in this Action, AGLIC and answering defendants Molly Hill Gray, Kevyn Morgareidge, and the Bank of Stockton Trust and Investment Group, stipulate that the Annuity benefit can be distributed to the Bank of Stockton Trust and Investment Group as Administrator of the Estate of Michael Norman Hill, within 30 business days upon receipt of written instructions from counsel for the three answering defendants.
- 30. AGLIC shall be and is fully and finally discharged and released from any and all further liability on any and all claims by all defendants related to the death benefit payable under the Annuity, the administration of the Annuity, the defendants' claims for the death benefit payable under the Annuity, payment of the Annuity benefit as set forth in paragraph 29

of this Stipulation, and from any and all claims relating in any way to the Annuity, whether said claims are by the defendants, or their representatives, assigns, successor, heirs or attorneys.

- 31. All defendants shall be and are hereby restrained and/or prohibited from instituting or prosecuting any proceeding in any state court, United States Court, or administrative tribunal, against AGLIC, related to the death benefit payable under the Annuity, the administration of the Annuity, the defendants' claims for the death benefit payable under the Annuity, payment of the Annuity benefit as set forth in paragraph 29 of this Stipulation, and from any and all claims relating in any way to the Annuity.
- 32. Each party shall bear their own fees and costs related to and incurred in this Action, and no defendant shall seek to recover, and/or recover, any of their or their representative's fees and costs in this Action from any other defendant, or AGLIC, including all fees and costs related to the subject matter of the Action, case number 2:16-cv-032460-KJM-KJN.
- 33. Entry of this Order moots the need for the parties to provide further information in response to the court's order of January 13, 2017. This action is dismissed with prejudice in its entirety and as to all parties.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 6, 2017

6