

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM NUNN,
Plaintiff,
v.
STANDIG, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:16-cv-2463-EFB P

ORDER

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. After dismissal of the original complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, plaintiff has filed an unsigned, amended complaint.

District courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which prisoners seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The court must identify cognizable claims or dismiss the complaint, or any portion of the complaint, if the complaint “is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted,” or “seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief.” *Id.* § 1915A(b).

The court cannot conduct the required screening of plaintiff’s amended complaint because plaintiff has not signed it. *See* ECF No. 10. Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that “[e]very pleading, written motion, and other paper . . . be signed by at least one

1 attorney of record in the attorney's name—or by a party personally if the party is unrepresented.”
2 Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a). Because plaintiff did not sign the amended complaint (ECF No. 10), it will
3 be disregarded. Within thirty days, plaintiff may file an amended complaint that is signed.
4 Failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed for
5 failure to prosecute

6 So ordered.

7 Dated: January 9, 2018.



EDMUND F. BRENNAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28