1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED SPECIALTY INSURANCE	No. 2:16-cv-02480-KJM-GGH
12	COMPANY,	
13	Plaintiff,	<u>ORDER</u>
14	v. DEAN PETERSEN,	
15	DEAN PETERSEN, Defendant.	
16		
17		
18	On September 12, 2017 defendant was granted a continuance on discovery responses to	
19	September 28, 2017 in light of a pending Motion to Stay Proceedings that had been scheduled to	
20	be heard by the district judge on September 22, 2017. ECF No. 21. ¹ On September 18, 2017, the	
21	Motion hearing was rescheduled to October 20, 2017. ECF No. 24. Four days after that	
22	rescheduling, the defendant filed another motion for stay seeking to delay his duty to respond to	
23	discovery requests until after the newly scheduled stay hearing. ECF No. 25. Plaintiff filed	
24	opposition to the motion on September 25, 2017. ECF No. 27.	
25	////	
26		
27	¹ As part of the resolution of the motion, the parties stipulated to, and the court signed, a protective order that would then be in place when discovery was responded to on September 28,	
28	2017. ECF No. 26.	
		1

1	The undersigned, having read the foregoing documents has concluded that a further stay,	
2	and/or continuance, is neither appropriate nor necessary to protect defendant's interests. As a	
3	result IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
4	1. Defendant's request for relief from discovery deadlines is DENIED;	
5	2. Defendant shall respond to the discovery that remains at issue no later than	
6	September 28, 2017 as previously ordered;	
7	3. All other elements of the district court's Pretrial Scheduling Order entered on	
8	March 24, 2017 remain in full force and effect unless the district judge orders otherwise.	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: September 27, 2017	
11	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows	
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	