

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CARLOS DANIEL OSORIO ZUNIGA,
Plaintiff,
v.
RIO COSUMNES CORRECTIONAL
CENTER,
Defendant.

No. 2:16-cv-2486 JAM KJN P

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed August 31, 2017, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file a second amended complaint was granted. Thirty days from that date have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff’s copy of the order was returned,¹ plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff’s responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.


///

¹ The return was marked “undeliverable, not in custody.” (Sept. 18, 2017 Docket Entry.)

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
2 prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

3 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
4 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
5 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
6 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
7 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that
8 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
9 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

10 Dated: October 13, 2017

11 
12 _____
13 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13 /zuni2486.fta2

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28