

1 Plaintiff submitted a response to the court on June 8, 2017. (ECF No. 14.) Plaintiff
2 indicated that her claim should not be dismissed “because to punish by dismissal is
3 misunderstood for intent, when in fact it is my disability to follow instructions [sic].” (Id.) While
4 the court is sympathetic to plaintiff’s situation, her response has not shown sufficient cause for
5 her delay, nor does it qualify as a motion for summary judgment that meets the requirements of
6 the court’s prior scheduling order. (See ECF No. 4.)

7 Due to plaintiff’s circumstances, the court will give her one more opportunity to file a
8 motion for summary judgment. The court strongly recommends that plaintiff try to find an
9 attorney to help her in this matter, as she has indicated that she has trouble following directions.

10 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 11 1. No later than **September 5, 2017**, plaintiff shall file a motion for summary judgment
12 that meets the requirements of the court’s October 21, 2016 scheduling order.
- 13 2. Failure to timely file a motion for summary judgment will result in dismissal of the
14 action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).
- 15 3. The Clerk of Court shall serve another copy of the court’s October 21, 2017
16 scheduling order (ECF No. 4) on plaintiff along with a copy of this order.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: July 7, 2017

19 
20 _____
21 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
22 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

23 14/16-2498.todd.SS.order
24
25
26
27
28