1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 LEERTESE BEIRGE, No. 2:16-cv-2505 JAM DB PS 12 Plaintiff. 13 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 By order filed April 11, 2017, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and plaintiff was 18 granted leave to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 7.) Plaintiff was granted twenty-eight 19 days from the date of that order to file an amended complaint. The twenty-eight day period has 20 expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order in any manner. 21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without 22 prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 23 These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge 24 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen (14) 25 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written 26 objections with the court. A document containing objections should be titled "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file 27 28 objections within the specified time may, under certain circumstances, waive the right to appeal 1

1	the District Court's order. See Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
2	DATED: May 26, 2017	/s/ DEBORAH BARNES
3		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	DLB:6	
23	DB/orders/orders.pro se/beirge2505.fta.f&rs	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2