1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	CHARLES R. BRAND, No. 2:16-cv-2550-JAM-CMK-P
12	Petitioner,
13	vs. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
14	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
15 16	Respondent.
17	Petitioner, a pretrial detainee in the Rio Consumnes Correctional Center, is
18	proceeding pro se. He has filed a pro se petition for a writ of mandamus, requesting this court
19	issue an order requiring the Sacramento County Superior Court hear his motions.
20	Under 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), all federal courts may issue writs "in aid of their
21	respective jurisdictions" In addition, the district court has original jurisdiction under 28
22	U.S.C. § 1361 to issue writs of mandamus. That jurisdiction is limited, however, to writs of
23	mandamus to "compel an officer or employee of the United States or any agency thereof to
24	perform a duty" 28 U.S.C. § 1361 (emphasis added). It is also well-established that, with
25	very few exceptions specifically outlined by Congress, the federal court cannot issue a writ of
26	mandamus commanding action by a state or its agencies. See e.g. Demos v. U.S. Dist. Court for
	1

1	Eastern Dist. of Wash., 925 F.2d 1160 (9th Cir. 1991).
2	Here, petitioner is not requesting any action on behalf of any officer or employee
3	of the United States or an agency thereof. Plaintiff is requesting this court to compel the
4	Sacramento County Superior Court to hear motions related to criminal proceedings against him.
5	This court has no jurisdiction to do so under 28 U.S.C. § 1361.
6	Based on the foregoing, the undersigned recommends that petitioner's petition for
7	issuance of a writ of mandate be denied and this action be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.
8	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
9	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within 20 days
10	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
11	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
12	Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive
13	the right to appeal. See Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
14	
15	DATED: May 2, 2017
16	saig m. Kellison
17	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I