

1 CATHERINE M. CORFEE SBN 155064  
 2 CORFEE STONE & ASSOCIATES  
 3 P.O. Box 1098  
 4 Carmichael, CA 95609  
 5 Telephone: (916) 487-5441  
 6 Facsimile: (916) 487-5440  
 7 Email: [legalassistant@corfeestone.com](mailto:legalassistant@corfeestone.com)

8 ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT  
 9 Steve's Liquor Investment Inc.

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 11 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11	SCOTT JOHNSON,	)	Case No.: 2:16-cv-02564-JAM-EFB
12		)	
13	Plaintiff,	)	<b>DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR AN</b>
14	vs.	)	<b>EXTENSION OF TIME TO OBJECT TO</b>
15		)	<b>THE COURT'S SCHEDULING ORDER</b>
16	STEVE LIQUOR INVESTMENT INC., a	)	
17	California Corporation;	)	
18	And Does 1-10,	)	
19		)	
20	Defendant.	)	

21 IT IS HEREBY REQUESTED by Defendant STEVE'S LIQUOR INVESTMENT INC.,  
 22 through its counsel of record, Catherine M. Corfee of Corfee Stone & Associates that time for  
 23 Defendant to object to the scheduling order be extended until February 17, and/or February 20,  
 24 2017. Defendant had not retained their current counsel of record until after Plaintiff filed a request  
 25 for entry of default on 12-27-16 (Dkt. 5). On 12-28-16, the Clerk Entered a Default as to Steve's  
 26 Liquor Investments Inc. (Dkt. 6). On 1-11-17, filed an answer to the Complaint. (Dkt 7). On 1-  
 27 27-17, Defendant filed its consent/decline form with respect to a magistrate Judge. (Dkt. 8). That  
 28 same day, Plaintiff's counsel agreed to also proceed with VDRP per their email to Defense  
 Z:\My Documents\sec\8937 Kamal Steves Liquor\Pleading\2-16-17 Defendant Steve's Liquor's request for eot to  
 object to scheduling order2.docx

1 counsel, but they have not filed said document yet. However, on 1-27-17, Defendant filed consent  
2 to VDRP. (Dkt. 9). On 2-1-17, the parties filed their joint report for the scheduling order. (Dkt.  
3 10). On 02/02/17, the Court issued a Status Pre-Trial Scheduling Order (“Scheduling Order”).  
4 (Dkt 11). On 2-6-17, Defendant served its Initial Disclosures on Plaintiff. In the Scheduling  
5 Order, the Court stated that objections may be filed within 7 days, i.e., 2/9/17. However, Defense  
6 counsel was out of work from 2-7-17 until 2-16-17 for medical purposes and only working a few  
7 hours on 2-16-17. Defense counsel had other legal obligations to meet during the time from 2-2-  
8 17 to 2-6-17 and there is no other attorney at this firm to work on matters.

9 For example, opposing counsel requires Defendant to file a joint stipulation to give  
10 Defendant an extension of time to file the answer by 2-24-17 even though Defendant filed the  
11 answer. That pleading was prepared and submitted to Plaintiff’s counsel and after a follow up,  
12 Defendant has not received a response. Due to the intervening event, Defendant respectfully  
13 requests to file an objection to the scheduling order by either February 17, 2017 and/or February  
14 20, 2017. Defendant believes there is an efficient way to resolve this matter and to stay the matter  
15 pending settlement discussions. Defendant served a FRCP 68 Offer and the parties are discussing  
16 settlement. Defendant has not requested an extension of time with the Court to date.

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: February 16, 2017

CORFEE STONE & ASSOCIATES

/s/ Catherine M. Corfee  
Catherine M. Corfee, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant, Jdbamr, LLC

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ORDER**

IT IS SO ORDERED

Based on good cause, the Court hereby grants Defendant to February 20, 2017, to file an objection to the scheduling order.

Date: 2-17-2017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT