

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DOUGLAS SCOTT McFARLAND,
Petitioner,
v.
STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
Respondent.

No. 2:16-cv-2568-JAM-EFB P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding without counsel, seeks a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On May 5, 2017, respondent filed a motion to dismiss on the ground that the sole claim in the petition is unexhausted. On June 6, 2017, the court informed petitioner of the requirements for filing an opposition to any motion to dismiss. That order gave petitioner 21 days to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition and warned petitioner that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.¹ ECF No. 22.

The 21 days have passed and petitioner has not filed an opposition or a statement of no opposition nor otherwise responded to the June 6, 2017, order.

//////

¹ The court notes that this action was previously dismissed after petitioner failed to pay the filing fee or seek leave to proceed in forma pauperis. ECF No. 6. The court later granted petitioner relief from judgment and reopened the case. ECF No. 11.

1 Accordingly, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b);
2 Rule 12, Rules Governing § 2254 Cases; E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110.

3 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
4 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
5 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
6 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
7 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Failure to file objections
8 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. *Turner v.*
9 *Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). In
10 his objections petitioner may address whether a certificate of appealability should issue in the
11 event he files an appeal of the judgment in this case. *See* Rule 11, Federal Rules Governing
12 Section 2254 Cases (the district court must issue or deny a certificate of appealability when it
13 enters a final order adverse to the applicant).

14 Dated: July 6, 2017.

15 
16 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28