1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	WALTER L. COLE,	No. 2:16-cv-2570 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	D. REAMES, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, seeks relief pursuant to	
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 15, 2016, the complaint was dismissed, and plaintiff was	
19	granted thirty days' leave to amend. (ECF No. 5.) Plaintiff's amended complaint is now before	
20	the court for screening. (ECF No. 8.) See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). Plaintiff has consented to	
21	Magistrate Judge jurisdiction over all proceedings this action. (ECF No. 4.)	
22	Having reviewed the amended complaint, the undersigned concludes that it fails to cure	
23	the defects of the original complaint as discussed in the December 15, 2016 screening order, or	
24	state a cognizable claim against any defendant. Because it appears that another round of	
25	amendment would be futile, the undersigned will dismiss this action without prejudice.	
26	////	
27	////	
28	////	1
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	ORDERED that this action is dismissed without prejudice.
2	Dated: March 1, 2017	Carop U. Delany
3		CAROLYN K. DELANEY
4		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	2 / cole2570.fac	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2