

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSEPH P. CUVIELLO,
Plaintiff,
v.
CITY OF VALLEJO,
Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-2584-KJM KJN

ORDER (1) SETTING SETTLEMENT
CONFERENCE, (2) REQUIRING PERSONAL
ATTENDANCE BY CERTAIN
INDIVIDUALS, (3) REQUIRING
SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
STATEMENT MEETING CERTAIN
PARAMETERS, AND (4) SCHEDULING
PRE-CONFERENCE DISCUSSION

Magistrate Judge Jeremy D. Peterson will hold a settlement conference by Zoom on February 9, 2021, at 9:00 a.m. The Zoom settlement conference invitation will be distributed the week prior. The court expects that the parties will proceed with the settlement conference in good faith and attempt to resolve all or part of the case. If any party believes that the settlement conference will not be productive, that party shall so inform the court as far in advance of the settlement conference as possible. Unless otherwise specifically authorized by the court in advance of the settlement conference, the following individuals must participate in the settlement conference: (1) all of the attorney(s) who will try the case; (2) the parties; and (3) individuals with full authority to negotiate and settle the case, on any terms.

No later than January 26, 2021, each party must submit to Judge Peterson's chambers at jdporders@caed.uscourts.gov a confidential settlement conference statement. These statements

1 should neither be filed on the docket nor served on any other party. The statements should be
2 marked “CONFIDENTIAL” and should state the date and time of the conference. While brevity
3 is appreciated, each statement must include:

4 (1) a brief recitation of the facts;

5 (2) a discussion of the strengths and weaknesses of the case, from your party’s
6 perspective;

7 (3) an itemized estimate of your party’s expected costs for further discovery, pretrial, and
8 trial matters, in specific dollar terms;

9 (4) your best estimate of the probability that plaintiff will obtain a finding of liability
10 should this case proceed to trial, in percentage terms;

11 (5) should this case proceed to trial and defendant be found liable, please provide the
12 following, in specific dollar terms:

13 (a) a realistic high-end recovery estimate (*i.e.*, realistic best- or worst-case
14 scenario)

15 (b) a realistic low-end recovery estimate (*i.e.*, realistic worst- or best-case
16 scenario), and

17 (c) a best estimate of the most likely outcome;

18 (6) a history of settlement discussions, including:

19 (a) a statement of your expectations for settlement discussions;

20 (b) a listing of any past and present settlement offers from any party (including all
21 terms);

22 (c) whether your party would consider making the opening offer or demand, and
23 what that offer might be¹;

24 (7) a list of the individuals who will be participating in the settlement conference on your
25 party’s behalf, including each participant’s name and, if appropriate, title; and

26 (8) identification of any related cases or of any other cases that the parties might wish to

27 _____
28 ¹ Please note that Judge Peterson will consider this response, among others, in evaluating whether proceeding with a settlement conference appears worthwhile.

1 discuss at this settlement conference;

2 (9) a completed worksheet (blank version attached) highlighting your responses to certain
3 of the above questions.

4 In addition, a joint statement quoting the specific proposed language for the
5 Settlement Agreement and Affidavit including each party's contentions with respect thereto.

6 Judge Peterson will hold a short, pre-settlement conference telephone discussion on
7 February 2, 2021 at 2:00 p.m. (dial-in number: 1-888-557-8511; passcode: 1273468). Only the
8 lead attorney from each side² should participate. At Judge Peterson's discretion, the joint
9 telephonic discussion may be followed by private telephonic discussions between the judge and
10 each party.

11

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13

14 Dated: January 15, 2021

15



JEREMY D. PETERSON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

² The court expects that the attorneys participating in the telephone discussion will also participate in the settlement conference.

Pre-Settlement Worksheet

| | <i>Please fill in each value below</i> |
|---|--|
| <i>Additional cost to your party expected for . . . discovery</i> | \$ |
| <i>. . . pretrial</i> | \$ |
| <i>. . . trial</i> | \$ |
| <i>Estimated probability of liability finding</i> | % |
| <i>Realistic high-end estimate of recovery by P</i> | \$ |
| <i>Realistic low-end estimate of recovery by P</i> | \$ |
| <i>Best estimate of recovery by P</i> | \$ |
| <i>Last offer/demand (if any) previously made by your party</i> | \$ |
| <i>Last offer/demand (if any) previously made by opposing party</i> | \$ |
| <i>Proposed next offer/demand by your party</i> | \$ |