

1 LTL ATTORNEYS LLP
 Eugene Hahm (SBN 167596)
 2 eugene.hahm@ltlattorneys.com
 James M. Lee (SBN 192301)
 3 james.lee@ltlattorneys.com
 300 S. Grand Avenue, 14th Floor
 4 Los Angeles, California 90017
 Tel.: 213-612-8900
 5 Fax: 213-612-3773

6 Attorneys for Defendant
 WAL-MART STORES, INC.

7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LARRY MAESTAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

WAL-MART STORES, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 2:16-CV-02597-KJM-KJN

**STIPULATION AND ORDER RE:
 PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED
 COMPLAINT AND EXTENDING
 DEFENDANT'S TIME TO RESPOND
 TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED
 COMPLAINT**

Complaint served: November 17, 2016

Current response date: January 5, 2017

First Amended Complaint submission
 date: January 13, 2017

1 Plaintiff Larry Maestas (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
2 (“Defendant”) hereby stipulate as follows:

3 1. On November 17, 2016, Plaintiff served its summons and complaint on
4 Defendant.

5 2. On December 5, 2016, pursuant to Local Rule 144, the parties filed a
6 stipulation extending Defendant’s deadline respond to Plaintiff’s complaint until
7 January 5, 2017 (Dkt. No. 5).

8 3. On December 15, 2016, pursuant to the Court’s standing order (Dkt.
9 No. 3-1, p. 3), the parties met and conferred regarding Defendant’s intention to file a
10 pleadings motion as its response to Plaintiff’s complaint.

11 4. In the interest of judicial economy, and to avoid any unnecessary
12 motion practice, the parties agreed that Plaintiff would submit an amended
13 complaint to address Defendant’s contentions by no later than January 13, 2017, and
14 that Defendant’s response would be due thirty (30) days after the filing of the
15 amended complaint.

16 5. This is the parties’ second request to extend Defendant’s time to
17 respond to Plaintiff’s complaint.

18 NOW, THEREFORE, by and through their respective counsel of record, the
19 parties hereby stipulate and agree that Plaintiff shall file a first amended complaint in
20 Case No. 2:16–CV–02597–KJM–KJN by no later than January 13, 2017, and
21 Defendant’s response to Plaintiff’s first amended complaint shall be due no later
22 than thirty (30) days after the filing of the amended complaint.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 DATED: January 4, 2017 LTL ATTORNEYS LLP

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

By /s/ James M. Lee
Eugene Hahm
James M. Lee
Attorneys for Defendant
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

DATED: January 4, 2017 LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C.

By /s/ Todd M. Friedman (as authorized on 01/04/2017)
Todd M. Friedman
Attorney for Plaintiff
Larry Maestas

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: January 5, 2017.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE