PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney 2 KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN Assistant U.S. Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 3 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 4 Attorneys for the United States 5 6 7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

2:16-CV-02763-MCE-AC

FINAL JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE

12 Plaintiff,

V.

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

APPROXIMATELY \$19,980.00 IN U.S. CURRENCY,

15 Defendant.

Pursuant to the Stipulation for Final Judgment of Forfeiture, the Court finds:

- 1. This is a civil forfeiture action against Approximately \$19,980.00 in U.S. Currency (hereafter "defendant currency") seized on August 8, 2015.
- 2. A Verified Complaint for Forfeiture *In Rem* ("Complaint") was filed on November 22, 2016, alleging that said defendant currency is subject to forfeiture to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6).
- 3 On November 22, 2016, the Clerk issued a Warrant for Arrest for the defendant currency, and that warrant was executed on November 25, 2016.
- 4. Beginning on December 7, 2016, for at least thirty consecutive days, the United States published Notice of the Forfeiture Action on the official internet government forfeiture site www.forfeiture.gov. A Declaration of Publication was filed on January 24, 2017.
 - 5. In addition to the public notice on the official internet government forfeiture site

7

11 12

10

13 14

16 17

15

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

www.forfeiture.gov, actual notice or attempted notice was given to the following individuals:

- Jonathan Stout, and
- Ricardo Jimenez. b.
- 6. Claimant Alexander Hicks filed a claim on February 7, 2017. No Answer has been filed by the claimant. No other parties have filed claims or answers in this matter, and the time in which any person or entity may file a claim and answer has expired.
- 7. The Clerk of the Court entered a Clerks Certificate of Entry of Default against Jonathan Stout and Ricardo Jimenez on January 26, 2017. Pursuant to Local Rule 540, the United States and claimant request that, as a part of this Final Judgment of Forfeiture, the Court enter a default judgment against the interests, if any, of Jonathan Stout and Ricardo Jimenez without further notice.

Based on the above findings, and the files and records of the Court, it is hereby ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:

- 1 Judgment is hereby entered against claimant Alexander Hicks, and all other potential claimants who have not filed claims in this action.
- 2. Upon entry of this Final Judgment of Forfeiture, \$9,990.00 of the Approximately \$19,980.00 in U.S. Currency, together with any interest that may have accrued on the total amount seized, shall be forfeited to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6), to be disposed of according to law.
- Upon entry of this Final Judgment of Forfeiture, but no later than 60 days thereafter, 3 \$9,990.00 of the Approximately \$19,980.00 in U.S. Currency shall be returned to claimant Alexander Hicks through his attorney Jacek W. Lentz.
- 4. The United States and its servants, agents, and employees and all other public entities, their servants, agents, and employees, are released from any and all liability arising out of or in any way connected with the seizure, arrest, or forfeiture of the defendant currency. This is a full and final release applying to all unknown and unanticipated injuries, and/or damages arising out of said seizure, arrest, or forfeiture, as well as to those now known or disclosed. Claimant Alexander Hicks waived the provisions of California Civil Code § 1542.
 - 5. All parties are to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.

- 6. The U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California, Hon. Morrison C. England, Jr., District Judge, shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Final Judgment of Forfeiture.
- 7. Based upon the allegations set forth in the Complaint filed November 22, 2016, and the Stipulation for Final Judgment of Forfeiture filed herein, the Court enters this Certificate of Reasonable Cause pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465, that there was reasonable cause for the seizure and arrest of the defendant currency, and for the commencement and prosecution of this forfeiture action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 28, 2017

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR V UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE