

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MILORAD OLIC,
Petitioner,
v.
HDSP WARDEN,
Respondent.

No. 2:16-cv-2845 JAM AC P

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. This court will not rule on petitioner’s request to proceed in forma pauperis.

Petitioner is presently incarcerated at California State Prison in Lancaster. He is serving a sentence for a conviction rendered by the Orange County Superior Court.

The general rule with regard to habeas applications is that both the United States District Court in the district where petitioner was convicted and the District Court where petitioner is incarcerated have jurisdiction over the claims. See Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S. 484 (1973). In the instant case, both petitioner’s conviction and his place of incarceration occurred in an area covered by the District Court for the Central District of California.

Accordingly, in the furtherance of justice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. This court has not ruled on petitioner’s application to proceed in forma pauperis; and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2. This matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. Id. at 499 n.15; 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).

DATED: December 21, 2016



ALLISON CLAIRE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE