

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

SARAH B. KAUFFMAN,

No. 2:16-CV-2883-CMK

Plaintiff,

vs.

ORDER

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,

Defendant.

_____ /

Plaintiff, who is proceeding with retained counsel, brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security.

A review of the docket reflects that defendant has been served. Therefore, the order to show cause issued on January 24, 2017, is hereby discharged.

A review of the docket also reflects that defendant has notified the court regarding consent to proceed before a Magistrate Judge. Plaintiff, however, has not notified the court regarding consent. Pursuant to the court’s scheduling order and Eastern District of California Local Rules, Appendix A, the time to do so has now expired.

///

1 Plaintiff shall show cause in writing, within 30 days of the date of this order, why
2 this action should not be dismissed for failure to inform the court regarding consent to Magistrate
3 Judge jurisdiction, as required by the court's scheduling order. Submission of a completed
4 consent election form shall constitute a sufficient response. The Clerk of the Court is directed to
5 serve on plaintiff a copy of the court's form entitled "Consent to Assignment or Request for
6 Reassignment." Plaintiff is warned that failure to respond to this order may result in the
7 dismissal of the action for the reasons discussed above, as well as for failure to prosecute and
8 comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10
11 DATED: June 13, 2017

12 
13 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26