1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL DEAN,	No. 2:16-cv-2905-TLN-EFB PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	CHEN CUONG ENTERPRISES, LLC,	
15	Defendant.	
16		·
17	On November 14, 2017, the court dismissed plaintiff's complaint with leave to amend. ¹	
18	The order explained the complaint's deficiencies, gave plaintiff thirty days to file an amended	
19	complaint correcting those deficiencies, and warned plaintiff that failure to file an amended	
20	complaint would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. ECF No. 3.	
21	The deadline has passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise	
22	responded to the order. ²	
23	////	
24	$\frac{1}{1}$ This action, in which plaintiff is proceeding <i>in propria persona</i> , was referred to the	
25	undersigned under Local Rule 302(c)(21). See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	
26	² Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned,	
27	plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record	
28	address of the party is fully effective.	
		1
1		

1	Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed, and that the	
2	Clerk be directed to close this case. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. L.R. 110.	
3	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
4	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
5	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
6	with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings	
7	and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right	
8	to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998);	
9	Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
10	Dated: January 11, 2018.	
11	Elming F. Biemm	
12	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	