



1 *Crawley v. Robinson*, No. 13-02042, 2015 WL 3849107, at \*2 (E.D. Cal. June 22, 2015) (quoting  
2 *Knutson v. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Minn.*, 254 F.R.D. 553, 556 (D. Minn. 2008)).

3 Here, Glass claims the magistrate judge's order is "baseless and contains nothing  
4 but meaningless boilerplate verbiage, and thereby, the dismissal of the Complaint must be  
5 reversed." Mot. at 1. The magistrate judge dismissed Glass's complaint under the in forma  
6 pauperis statute, which authorizes federal courts to dismiss a case if the action is legally  
7 "frivolous or malicious" or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. 28 U.S.C.  
8 § 1915 (e)(2). The magistrate judge determined Glass's complaint was "so vague and  
9 conclusory" the court was "unable to determine whether [it] is frivolous or fails to state a claim  
10 for relief." Order at 2.

11 A review of Glass's complaint affirms this conclusion. *See generally* Compl.,  
12 ECF No. 1. The complaint does not explain the connection between defendants' alleged  
13 misconduct and the statute this conduct purportedly violates. *Id.* ¶¶ 28–30. Even under the  
14 liberal federal pleading standards, the complaint must allege with at least some particularity  
15 defendants' overt acts that support the claim. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's dismissal of  
16 the complaint, with leave to amend, was proper and this court does not have a "definite and firm  
17 conviction that a mistake has been committed." *Concrete Pipe & Prods.*, 508 U.S. at 622.

18 Glass's motion for reconsideration is DENIED.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 This resolves ECF No. 4.

21 DATED: March 27, 2017

22  
23   
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
25  
26  
27  
28