1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	TUANJA EDWARD ANDERSON,	No. 2: 16-cv-2948 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	M. VOONG, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a civil rights action pursuant	
18	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff consented to the jurisdiction of the undersigned on January 6,	
19	2017. (ECF No. 4.) On January 23, 2017, the undersigned dismissed the complaint with thirty	
20	days to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 5.) Thirty days passed and plaintiff did not file an	
21	amended complaint. Accordingly, on March 16, 2017, the undersigned dismissed this action	
22	based on plaintiff's failure to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 8.)	
23	On March 27, 2017, plaintiff appealed the order dismissing this action. (ECF No. 10.) On	
24	July 5, 2017, plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration of the order dismissing his action. (ECF	
25	No. 15.) Plaintiff claimed that he did not receive the January 23, 2017 order. (<u>Id.</u>) On August	
26	24, 2017, the undersigned denied plaintiff's motion for reconsideration, construed as a motion for	
27	relief from judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b). (ECF No. 16.) The	

undersigned found that plaintiff's appeal divested the court of jurisdiction to consider plaintiff's

pending motion. (Id.) On February 28, 2018, the Ninth Circuit vacated the order dismissing this action and remanded this action for further proceedings. (ECF No. 17.) The Ninth Circuit found that not all parties consented to proceed before the magistrate judge. See Williams v. King, 875 F.3d 500, 503-04 (9th Cir. 2017) (all parties, including unserved defendants, must consent in order for jurisdiction to vest with the magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1)). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The Clerk of the Court is directed to re-serve plaintiff with the January 23, 2017 order dismissing his complaint with leave to amend (ECF No. 5); 2. Plaintiff is granted thirty days from the date of this order to file an amended complaint; failure to file an amended complaint within that time will result in a recommendation of dismissal of this action. Dated: March 8, 2018 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE An2948.rep