UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
RAYMOND M. DOUGLAS,	No. 2:16-cv-02953-TLN-AC
Plaintiff,	
v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
HUFFMAN,	RECOMMENDATIONS
Defendant.	
This matter is before the Court on defendant's Motion to Dismiss, scheduled for hearing	
on June 21, 2017. ECF No. 16. In response	to defendant's motion, plaintiff filed a Statement of
Non-Opposition. ECF No. 17. Having considered the unopposed arguments submitted by	
defendant, the court VACATES the June 21, 2017 hearing and recommends that defendant's	
motion be GRANTED and this case be DISMISSED with leave to file an amended complaint	
within 30 days of this order.	
I.	BACKGROUND
Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed his	complaint on December 18, 2016. ECF No. 1. In his
complaint, plaintiff alleges that in 2014, he noticed that he was being followed and stalked by a	
woman he had gone to high school with. <u>Id.</u> at 2. In January and February of 2015, plaintiff	
began spending time on Alhambra Boulevard. <u>Id.</u> Plaintiff alleges the woman continued to stalk	
him. Id. On March 23, 2015, plaintiff assert	s that he was taking shelter under boxes when
	1
	FOR THE EASTERN RAYMOND M. DOUGLAS, Plaintiff, v. HUFFMAN, Defendant. This matter is before the Court on def on June 21, 2017. ECF No. 16. In response Non-Opposition. ECF No. 17. Having consi defendant, the court VACATES the June 21, motion be GRANTED and this case be DISN within 30 days of this order. I. Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed his complaint, plaintiff alleges that in 2014, he n woman he had gone to high school with. Id. began spending time on Alhambra Boulevard

defendant, a Sacramento Police Officer, tore the boxes off of him and assaulted him, ultimately
 breaking his arm. <u>Id.</u> at 3.

3 On May 27, 2015, plaintiff states that he submitted a Sacramento County Sheriff's 4 Department Citizen Complaint Form about the March 23rd incident. Id. at 5. On Monday, June 5 1, 2015, plaintiff received a phone call from the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department 6 Internal Affairs Division. Id. Plaintiff had an interview with Internal Affairs on June 3, 2015. Id. 7 After some negotiations, plaintiff states that he felt pressured to agree to accept \$2,000 and 8 payment of current and future medical expenses to settle his claims. Id. at 6. Plaintiff asserts that 9 he was handed a document titled "Release of all Claims" and was instructed to read and 10 understand it before signing. Id. The deputy stated that the form meant plaintiff was releasing all 11 claims against the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department. Id. Plaintiff, "naïve of the 12 government claims process," signed the document. Id. Plaintiff received payment the same day. 13 Id. at 7.

14 Plaintiff alleges that his high school classmate continues to stalk him, "following and 15 watching in the company of Sheriff unmarked vehicles[.]" Id. at 8. Plaintiff followed up several 16 times with the Department of Internal Affairs to check on the status of his case, and was told by 17 the adjuster that there would be no further compensation. Id. Around September of 2015, 18 plaintiff alleges he began experiencing a "mockery by individuals that plaintiff comes into contact 19 with, throughout the city." Id. at 9. Plaintiff asserts that the Sacramento County Sheriff's 20 Department publicized information about him, potentially in a conspiracy. Id. Plaintiff alleges 21 that when he utilized the Sacramento Central Library computers, defendant sat next to him and 22 made a gesture with his right arm, and showed plaintiff a shiny tooth. Id. at 10. Plaintiff states 23 that he followed up with Risk Management again in December of 2015 regarding the status of his 24 claim, and was told that the claim had already been settled. Id. at 11. Plaintiff again saw 25 defendant, accompanied by the woman who is stalking him. Id.

26

II. CLAIMS

Plaintiff presents several claims that are apparently based on the March 23, 2015 incident
between plaintiff and defendant. These claims include Assault (First Cause of Action), Unlawful

1	Arrest (Third Cause of Action), Excessive Force (Fourth Cause of Action), Battery (Fifth Cause
2	of Action), and False Imprisonment (Sixth Cause of Action). Plaintiff makes two other claims
3	related to the alleged publication of information about him, which he alleges resulted in
4	widespread mockery. These claims include Defamation (Seventh Cause of Action), and Slander
5	(Second Cause of Action). On May 15, 2017, defendant filed a motion to dismiss plaintiff's
6	complaint in its entirety. ECF No. 16. Plaintiff filed a statement of non-opposition to the motion.
7	ECF No. 17.
8	III. ANALYIS
9	A. Motion to Dismiss Standard
10	"To survive a motion to dismiss, a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter,
11	accepted as true, to 'state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556
12	U.S. 662, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009) (citing <u>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</u> , 550 U.S. 544, 570,
13	
14	(2007)). In a plausible claim, "the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw
15	the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the conduct alleged." <u>Iqbal</u> , 129 S.Ct. at
16	1949 (citing Twombly, 550 U.S. at 545); see also Moss v. United States Secret Serv., 572 F.3d
17	962, 969 (9th Cir.2009) ("In sum, for a complaint to survive a motion to dismiss, the non-
18	conclusory 'factual content,' and reasonable inferences from that content, must be plausibly
19 20	suggestive of a claim entitling the plaintiff to relief.") (citing Iqbal at 1949). The Court must
20 21	accept plaintiffs' factual allegations as true, but is not required to accept plaintiff's legal
21	conclusions as true. <u>Id.</u> at 1949–150. Courts are not required to accept as true legal conclusions
22	that are framed as factual allegations. <u>Iqbal</u> , at 1950 (citation omitted). Complaints by plaintiffs
24	proceeding pro se are construed liberally when being evaluated under Iqbal, with the plaintiff
25	
26	afforded the benefit of any doubt. <u>Hebbe v. Pliler</u> , 627 F.3d 338, 342 (9th Cir. 2010)
27	//
28	//
	3

1	B. <u>Plaintiff's Claims Related to the March 23, 2015 Incident Were Already Settled</u> and Released
2	
3	Plaintiff's claims related to the March 23, 2015 incident with defendant should be
4	dismissed because plaintiff previously settled and released all claims against defendant.
5	Plaintiff's claims related to the March 23, 2015 incident include his claims for Assault (First
6	Cause of Action), Unlawful Arrest (Third Cause of Action), Excessive Force (Fourth Cause of
7	Action), Battery (Fifth Cause of Action), and False Imprisonment (Sixth Cause of Action).
8 9	Plaintiff's complaint alleges on its face that he settled, was compensated for, and released all
10	claims related to the March 23, 2015 incident. ECF No. 1 at 6. Defendant presents the settlement
11	and release as grounds for dismissal, and plaintiff does not object. ECF No. 16-1 at 11, 13, 14.
12	Accordingly, plaintiff's claims should be dismissed.
13	C. Plaintiff's Claims for Defamation and Slander Fail to State a Claim
14	The only claims plaintiff brings that he does not allege were previously released are for
15	Defamation (Seventh Cause of Action) and Slander (Second Cause of Action). To properly
16	allege a claim of slander, a plaintiff must allege the substance of the defamatory statement. Okun
17	
18	v. Superior Court, 29 Cal. 3d 442, 458, 629 P.2d 1369 (1981). Although "[l]ess particularity is
19	required when it appears that defendant has superior knowledge of the facts" a plaintiff still must
20	allege enough to give the defendant "notice of the issues sufficient to enable preparation of a
21	defense." Id. Similarly, defamation "involves the intentional publication of a statement of fact
22	that is false, unprivileged, and has a natural tendency to injure or which causes special damage."
23	Smith v. Maldonado, 72 Cal. App. 4th 637, 645, 85 Cal. Rptr. 2d 397 (1999), as modified (June
24	
25	23, 1999). Plaintiff has not alleged any particular defamatory or slanderous statement made by
26	any particular person; plaintiff alleges only that non-specific information about him was
27	publicized. ECF No. 1 at 9. Defendant asserts that plaintiff's slander and defamation claims fail
28	to put him on notice of the substance of the alleged slander and defamation, and therefore do not Δ

1 enable him to prepare a defense. ECF No. 16 at 11 and 17. Plaintiff does not contest this 2 assertion, and does not oppose dismissal on this basis. ECF No. 17. For this reason, the 3 undersigned recommends that these claims be dismissed. 4 IV. **PRO SE PLAINTIFF'S SUMMARY** 5 The Magistrate Judge is recommending dismissal of the complaint. Defendant asked the 6 Magistrate Judge to dismiss the complaint, and plaintiff said he did not oppose dismissal. The 7 complaint is being dismissed for two reasons. First, plaintiff and defendant seem to agree that all 8 of the claims related to the March 23, 2015 incident were settled and that plaintiff agreed that he 9 cannot bring any more claims based on this incident. Second, with respect to plaintiff's slander 10 and defamation claims, plaintiff did not allege any particular defamatory or slanderous statements 11 that were made, or who made them. For this reason, plaintiff did not state a claim for slander or 12 defamation. Although the Magistrate Judge is recommending that the complaint be dismissed, 13 plaintiff will be allowed 30 days to file an amended complaint. The amended complaint should 14 comply with the instructions below. Failure to file an amended complaint will result in this case 15 being closed. 16 V. AMENDING THE COMPLAINT 17 Plaintiff will be provided an opportunity to amend his complaint. The court will therefore 18 provide guidance for amendment. 19 The amended complaint must contain a short and plain statement of plaintiff's claims. 20 Plaintiff must allege facts showing that each of the elements of every cause of action, set forth 21 above at part III, are met. For defamation and slander, plaintiff must provide enough information 22 to put defendant on notice and allow him to prepare a defense. If plaintiff includes any claims 23 related to the March 23, 2015 incident, he must also explain why the settlement and release does 24 not bar them. 25 The allegations of the complaint must be set forth in sequentially numbered paragraphs, 26 with each paragraph number being one greater than the one before, each paragraph having its own 27 number, and no paragraph number being repeated anywhere in the complaint. Each paragraph 28

5

should be limited "to a single set of circumstances" where possible. Fed. R. Civ. P. 10(b). Forms
 are available to help the plaintiff organize his complaint in the proper way. They are available at
 the Clerk's Office, 501 I Street, 4th Floor (Rm. 4-200), Sacramento, CA 95814, or online at
 www.uscourts.gov/forms/pro-se-forms.

Plaintiff must avoid excessive repetition of the same allegations. Plaintiff must avoid
narrative and storytelling. That is, the complaint should not include every detail of what
happened, nor recount the details of conversations (unless necessary to establish the claim), nor
give a running account of plaintiff's hopes and thoughts. Rather, the amended complaint should
contain only those facts needed to show how the defendant legally wronged the plaintiff.

10 The amended complaint must not force the court and the defendants to guess at what is 11 being alleged against whom. See McHenry v. Renne, 84 F.3d 1172, 1177 (9th Cir. 1996) 12 (affirming dismissal of a complaint where the district court was "literally guessing as to what 13 facts support the legal claims being asserted against certain defendants"). The amended 14 complaint must not require the court to spend its time "preparing the 'short and plain statement' 15 which Rule 8 obligated plaintiffs to submit." Id. at 1180. The amended complaint must not 16 require the court and defendants to prepare lengthy outlines "to determine who is being sued for 17 what." Id. at 1179.

18 Also, the amended complaint must not refer to a prior pleading in order to make plaintiff's 19 amended complaint complete. An amended complaint must be complete in itself without 20 reference to any prior pleading. Local Rule 220. This is because, as a general rule, an amended 21 complaint supersedes the original complaint. See Pacific Bell Telephone Co. v. Linkline 22 Communications, Inc., 555 U.S. 438, 456 n.4 (2009) ("[n]ormally, an amended complaint 23 supersedes the original complaint") (citing 6 C. Wright & A. Miller, Federal Practice & 24 Procedure § 1476, pp. 556-57 (2d ed. 1990)). Therefore, in an amended complaint, as in an 25 original complaint, each claim and the involvement of each defendant must be sufficiently 26 alleged.

27

28

V. CONCLUSION

The hearing on this matter set for June 21, 2017 is VACATED. The undersigned

1	recommends that defendant's motion to dismiss be GRANTED and that plaintiff's complaint be
2	DISMISSED with 30 days to file an amended complaint.
3	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
4	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty one days
5	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
6	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. <u>Id.</u> ; see also Local Rule 304(b). Such a
7	document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and
8	Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed with the court and served on all
9	parties within fourteen days after service of the objections. Local Rule 304(d). Failure to file
10	objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.
11	<u>Turner v. Duncan</u> , 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); <u>Martinez v. Ylst</u> , 951 F.2d 1153, 1156-57
12	(9th Cir. 1991).
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	DATED: June 12, 2017 allen Clane
15	allison clane
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	7