1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 RAYMOND M. DOUGLAS, No. 2:16cv-2953 KJM GGH PS 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. **ORDER** 14 SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPUTY HUFFMAN – BADGE #458, 15 Defendant. 16 17 Plaintiff, proceeding in this action pro se, has requested leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 18 19 302(21), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). 20 Plaintiff has submitted an affidavit making the showing required by 28 U.S.C. § 21 1915(a)(1). Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted. 22 The determination that plaintiff may proceed in forma pauperis does not complete the required inquiry. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the court is directed to dismiss the case at 23 24 any time if it determines the allegation of poverty is untrue, or the action is frivolous or malicious, 25 fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief against an immune 26 defendant. The court cannot make this determination on the present record. Therefore, the court 27 reserves decision on these issues until the record is sufficiently developed. On the present record, 28 plaintiff has stated a colorable claim for relief against defendant Huffman, Badge #458. 1

1	9. Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this
2	action be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) and Local Rules 110 and
3	183(a).
4	Dated: December 27, 2016
5	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	OCH 07/75 - 1, 2052
8	GGH:076/Douglas2953.srv
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	