California River	Watch v. Sweeney et al		Doo	: 37
California River  1 2 3 4 5 6 7	JOHN BRISCOE (053223) LAWRENCE S. BAZEL (114641) LAUREN D. BERNADETT (295251) BRISCOE IVESTER & BAZEL LLP 155 Sansome Street, Seventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel (415) 402-2700 Fax (415) 398-5630 jbriscoe@briscoelaw.net lbazel@briscoelaw.net lbernadett@briscoelaw.net Attorneys for Defendants	UB_LLC (also sued as Point Buckler_LLC)	Doo	s. 37
8	JOHN D. SWEENEY and POINT BUCKLER CLUB, LLC (also sued as Point Buckler, LLC)			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	CALIFORNIA RIVER WATCH,	No. 2:16-CV-02972-KJM-KJN		
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO DISCOVERY		
13	v.	Courtroom: 3 Hon. Kimberly J. Mueller		
14	JOHN DONNELLY SWEENEY; POINT BUCKLER, LLC; POINT BUCKLER CLUB,			
15	LLC; DOES 1 through 10, inclusive;			
16	Defendants.			
17				
18				
19 20				
20				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO DISCOVERY	NO. 2:16-CV-02972-KJM-	KJN	

Dockets.Justia.com

## **STIPULATION**

Plaintiff California River Watch ("Plaintiff") and Defendants John D. Sweeney and Point Buckler Club, LLC (also sued as Point Buckler, LLC) (collectively "Defendants") hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. On March 29, 2017, Plaintiff served Defendants with (1) Plaintiff's First Requests
  For Admission to Defendant John Donnelly Sweeney, (2) Plaintiff's First Request For Production of
  Documents and ESI to Defendant John Donnelly Sweeney, and (3) Plaintiff's First Set of
  Interrogatories to Defendant John Donnelly Sweeney.
- 2. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 29 provides, in pertinent part, that "Unless the court orders otherwise, the parties may stipulate that: . . . (b) other procedures governing or limiting discovery be modified—but a stipulation extending the time for any form of discovery must have court approval if it would interfere with the time set for completing discovery, for hearing a motion, or for trial."
- 3. On February 24, 2017, the Court took under submission Defendants' motion to dismiss, and set a case management conference for June 8, 2017.
- 4. On June 1, 2017, the Court vacated the June 8, 2017 case management conference and reset it to July 6, 2017.
- 5. On June 27, 2017, the Court vacated the July 6, 2017 case management conference and reset it to August 17, 2017.
- 6. Due to unavailability of counsel on August 17, parties are seeking to reschedule the case management conference.
- 7. In light of Defendants' pending motion to dismiss, which if granted would moot Plaintiff's discovery requests, and the rescheduled case management conference, the parties hereby stipulate to extend Defendants' time to respond to the discovery requests listed in paragraph 1 above. Defendants' time to respond is extended until eight (8) days after the case management conference.
- 8. This stipulation will not interfere with the time set for completing discovery, for hearing a motion, or for trial, because there are no such dates currently set.

1	9. In addition, Plaintiff agrees to re-notice the deposition of Defendant John Donnelly		
2	Sweeney to a date after Defendants respond to the discovery requests.		
3	3		
4	1		
5	5		
6	5 DATED: July 11, 2017.	RISCOE IVESTER & BAZEL LLP	
7		3	
8		Lago	
9		Lawrence S. Bazel	
10		Attorneys for Defendants JOHN D. SWEENEY and POINT BUCKLER CLUB, LLC (also sued as Point Buckler, LLC)	
11		Tollit Buckler, ELC)	
12	DATED: July 11, 2017.	AW OFFICE OF JACK SILVER	
13			
14	B	y: /s/ Jack Silver as authorized on July 13, 2017 Jack Silver	
15		Attorney for Plaintiff CALIFORNIA RIVER WATCH	
16 17			
18	DATED: July 11, 2017.	AW OFFICE OF EDWARD E. YATES	
19			
20	D	y: /s/ Edward E. Yates as authorized on July 13, 2017 Edward E. Yates	
21		Attorney for Plaintiff CALIFORNIA RIVER WATCH	
22			
23	,		
24	II IS SO ORDERED.		
25	DATED. August 3, 2017	MA MINO	
26		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
27			
28	$3 \parallel$		