1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOHN WESLEY WILLIAMS, No. 2:16-CV-3002-JAM-CMK-P	
12	Plaintiff,	
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>	
14	RILEY, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	/	
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to	
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the court is defendants' motion (Doc. 16) for an order	
19	pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule 151 requiring plaintiff to post security. The	
20	court declines to exercise its discretion under this rule to require plaintiff to post security at this	
21	time. Defendants' motion is therefore denied, but without prejudice to defendants seeking	
22	revocation of plaintiff's in forma pauperis status under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
	1	
	Destud	

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
2	DATED: August 29, 2018	
3	2111221 114guot 27, 2010	Lisais m. Kellison
4		<b>CRAIG M. KELLISON</b> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
17		
10		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
		2