1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 JOHN WESLEY WILLIAMS, No. 2:16-CV-3002-JAM-DMC-P 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 RILEY, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 18 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the court is plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the 19 Magistrate Judge's May 29, 2019, order (ECF No. 48). As part of this motion, plaintiff seeks a 20 stay of proceedings. 21 Pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule 303(f), a Magistrate Judge's 22 order shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire 23 file, the court finds that it does not appear that the Magistrate Judge's ruling was clearly 24 erroneous or contrary to law. The May 29, 2019, order is, therefore, affirmed. 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 /// 1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
2	2 1. The mo	The motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 48) is denied;	
3	2. The Ma	The Magistrate Judge's May 29, 2019, order is affirmed;	
4	3. No furtl	ner motions for reconsideration of this order will be considered;	
5	4. Plaintif	f's request to stay proceedings is denied;	
6	5. Plaintiff	f may file a response to defendants' motion for summary judgment	
7	(ECF No. 45) within 30 days of the date of this order; and		
8	6. The ma	tter is referred back to the assigned Magistrate Judge for further	
9	proceedings.		
10			
11	DATED: September 3, 2019	//	
12		/s/ John A. Mendez	
13	3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22 23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	11		