1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL A. LUNA,	No. 2:16-cv-3052 KJN P
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.	ORDER AND FINDINGS &
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,	RECOMMENDATIONS
15	COUNTY OF PLACER,	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	Petitioner has filed a petition for "writ of error" and an application to proceed in forma	
19	pauperis. Petitioner appears to challenge proceedings in the Placer County Superior Court.	
20	Neither the petition nor the application to proceed in forma pauperis contains a mailing	
21	address for petitioner. It appears that petitioner filed his pleadings in person. Accordingly, on	
22	April 3, 2017, the undersigned granted petitioner sixty days to show cause why this action should	
23	not be dismissed for his failure to keep the court apprised of his current address as required by	
24	Local Rule 183(b). Sixty days passed and petitioner failed to respond to the April 3, 2017, order.	
25	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall assign a district	
26	judge to this action; and	
27	////	
28	////	
		1

1	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.	
2	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
3	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
4	after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written	
5	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
6	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that	
7	failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District	
8	Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
9	Dated: June 21, 2017	
10	Fordall P. Newman	
11	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14	Luna3052.fr	
15	kc	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	