1		
1 2		
2		
3		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 2:16-mc-00036-KJM-KJN
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	APPROXIMATELY \$20,000.00 IN U.S.	
15	CURRENCY, Defendant.	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	As provided by the Stipulation for Consent Judgment of Forfeiture, the Court finds:	
19	1. On August 14, 2015, agents with the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA)	
20	contacted Dupree at the Sacramento International Airport in Sacramento, California.	
21	Approximately \$20,000.00 in U.S. Currency (defendant currency) was seized from Dupree	
22	during this encounter.	
23	2. The DEA commenced administrative forfeiture proceedings, sending direct	
24	written notice to all known potential claimants and publishing notice to all others.	
25	3. The United States represents that it could show at a forfeiture trial that an agent	
26	with the DEA approached Malachi Rashad Dupree (Dupree) and asked for permission to speak	
27	with him. Dupree agreed and told the agent he was traveling from Baltimore, Maryland to	
28	purchase "sneakers" from a wholesaler in Sacramento. Dupree said he was carrying \$20,000.00	
		1

1 in cash that his uncle, Eric Ezenwanne, had given him to purchase shoes for his uncle's store. 2 Dupree agreed to a consensual search of his carry-on luggage. During the search, agents found 3 several rubber-banded stacks of cash concealed within a cloth shoe bag. The cash was 4 comprised of various denominations, totaling \$20,000.00. 5 4. The United States could further show at a forfeiture trial that the defendant 6 currency is forfeitable to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6). 7 5. Without admitting the truth of the factual assertions contained in this stipulation, 8 claimant specifically denying the same, and for the purpose of reaching an amicable resolution 9 and compromise of this matter, claimant agrees that an adequate factual basis exists to support 10 forfeiture of the defendant currency. Dupree hereby acknowledges that he is the sole owner of 11 the defendant currency, and that no other person or entity has any legitimate claim of interest 12 therein. Should any person or entity institute any kind of claim or action against the 13 government with regard to its forfeiture of the defendant currency, claimant shall hold harmless 14 and indemnify the United States, as set forth below. 15 6. This Court has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1345 and 1355, as this is the judicial district in which acts or omissions giving rise to the forfeiture 16 17 occurred. 18 7. This Court has venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1395, as this is the judicial district 19 in which the defendant currency was seized. 20 8. The parties herein desire to settle this matter pursuant to the terms of a duly 21 executed Stipulation for Consent Judgment of Forfeiture. 22 Based upon the above findings, and the files and records of the Court, IT IS HEREBY 23 ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: 24 1. The Court adopts the Stipulation for Consent Judgment of Forfeiture entered into 25 by and between the parties. 26 2. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment of Forfeiture, \$16,000.00 of the 27 Approximately \$20,000.00 in U.S. Currency, together with any interest that may have accrued

2

28

/////

1	on the total amount seized, shall be forfeited to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C.
2	§ 881(a)(6), to be disposed of according to law.

3 3. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment of Forfeiture, but no later than 60 days
4 thereafter, \$4,000.00 of the Approximately \$20,000.00 in U.S. Currency shall be returned to
5 claimant Malachai Rashad Dupree through his attorney Robert David Malove.

4. The United States of America and its servants, agents, and employees and all
other public entities, their servants, agents and employees, are released from any and all liability
arising out of or in any way connected with the seizure or forfeiture of the defendant currency.
This is a full and final release applying to all unknown and unanticipated injuries, and/or
damages arising out of said seizure or forfeiture, as well as to those now known or disclosed.
Claimants waived the provisions of California Civil Code § 1542.

12 5. No portion of the stipulated settlement, including statements or admissions made
13 therein, shall be admissible in any criminal action pursuant to Rules 408 and 410(a)(4) of the
14 Federal Rules of Evidence.

6. All parties will bear their own costs and attorney's fees.

7. As provided by the Stipulation for Consent Judgment of Forfeiture filed herein,
the Court enters a Certificate of Reasonable Cause pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465, that there was
reasonable cause for the seizure of the above-described defendant currency.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 DATED: March 4, 2016.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE