Doc. 26 (PC)Bell v. Martel et al

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORDER

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MICHAEL XAVIER BELL,

No. 2:17-cv-00063 MCE CKD P

v.

MICHAEL MARTEL, et al.,

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief

under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On March 13, 2018, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein

which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. ECF No. 22. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.

1

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed March 13, 2018, ECF No. 22, are ADOPTED IN FULL; and 2. The claims against defendants Michael Martel, John Sanzberro, and C. Shirley, as well as the retaliation claim against defendant Copeland are DISMISSED without leave to amend for failing to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: April 25, 2018 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE