1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MAHDEE ABDUL AKBAR	No. 2:17-cv-00140 GGH
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	JENNIFER BARRETTO,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND	
18	Plaintiff was a proceeding in pro se in this action that was originally brought as a petition	
19	for habeas corpus in the Northern District of California. The matter was transferred to this court	
20	by Northern District Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James on January 19, 2017. ECF No. 4. On	
21	February 28, 2017, this court dismissed the transferred complaint without prejudice to permit	
22	filing of an amended complaint alleging violation of plaintiff's civil rights under 28 U.S.C.	
23	section 1983 within 30 days of the issuance of the Order if he so chose. ECF No. 14. This Order	
24	also notified plaintiff that his failure to comply with its terms could lead to a dismissal with	
25	prejudice. On March 7, 2017 this court granted plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
26	ECF No. 17. Plaintiff filed no amended complaint, but he did request appointment of counsel.	
27	ECF No. 18. The request for counsel was denied and the plaintiff's action was dismissed with	
28		
		1

1	prejudice for failure to follow the court's directions to file an amended complaint in conformity	
2	with the terms found in the Order filed April 10, 2017. ECF No. 19. Judgment was entered on	
3	the same date. ECF No. 20. On June 1, 2017 plaintiff filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the	
4	dismissal and entry of judgment. ECF No. 21.	
5	DISCUSSION	
6	Plaintiff does not address his failure to file an amended complaint in this motion. Instead	
7	he asserts that Judge Thelton Henderson of the Northern District ordered some relief in this case	
8	before it was transferred. There is, however, no order by Judge Henderson in the record of this	
9	case and, in fact, Judge Henderson was never assigned this case while it was pending in his	
10	District.	
11	The court will construe plaintiff's Motion as having been brought under Federal Rule of	
12	Civil Procedure 60(b), which permits the court to relieve a party from a final judgment for one of	
13	the following reasons: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect; (2) newly	
14	discovered evidence; (3) fraud, misrepresentation or misconduct by an opposing party; (4) the	
15	judgment is void; (5) the judgment has been satisfied, release, or discharged; or (6) any other	
16	reason that justifies relief. None of these bases exist in the present case, and therefore the	
17	judgment must stand.	
18	CONCLUSION	
19	For all of the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration is DENIED, the	
20	Clerk shall close this case, and no further filings shall be docketed.	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	Dated: June 7, 2017	
23	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows	
24	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	